

Here you go. If I had started earlier, I would have more but I wasn't really planning on bashing Cruz. I originally first made a critique of a Tingle-for-Ted comment, then I was called an idiot and a Troll (still trying to learn what exactly that means), then I submitted more evidence, and then more dirt, and now I got this. Was going to write about the whole thing, but I wasn't expecting it to go so far and I don't have time for it - I wish I did. RS kicked me off and my comment off 'cause someone reported me (a.k.a. RS and many in this camp are RINO-like and have a similar agenda as the Democrat Party (a.k.a. communist party). I wanted to write about that using loads of examples, and logic in my reasoning, but it wouldn't be worth it. Those who are this way would respond much like the Democrats - with nonsense and personal attacks, and those others who might be interested wouldn't read the essay, which could be 10 or 15 pages - and I have other priorities, so I'll skip it. I am on this site to learn from others and disseminate info to others only. RS is generally only interested in feel-good comments, comments that complement each other, as you can see if you follow the blogging on this page long enough. Anyway, what is written below is from a collection of info. Not well organized for my constraint is time, but Ted is incompetent.

WARNING: The new term on RS for 'Racist' is now 'Troll', so if you are called a Troll here, it's the same as calling you a 'Racist', and to 'Shut up' and 'Get Lost'.

WARNING: It has been confirmed that some of the Ted supporters (not all) seem to have contempt for those who don't feel that tingle. It has been confirmed that those who post the following link have a bias toward Ted, and are either directly or indirectly working for him. I have not made up my mind yet, but the more reviews I see from the Ted-bots, the more I question the sincerity in their objectivity, and the more I see Ted's blunders, incompetence, inexperience, the less I like him. He talks well - mostly - so I'm not explicitly against him - questioning with diligence. I am just doing a cursory logging of some of it and some of the interactions I've had with a couple Ted-bots.

I am doing all I can on the subject of best-candidate, I don't have a lot of time to organize my thoughts well here in, but I've decided that someone should try to put something on that tingle before we all have soiled underwear. Although what is posted here in will seem less than organized, please look past that and see the substantive nature of this phenomenon. There is quite a lot of substance and quite a lot of reason to be skeptical of Ted. Even still, and even if Ted did every single thing he promises today, it still won't be enough.

There are a few problems I have with the following link that Ted-bots are posting. It is useful, but one should look past it. I haven't had time to study its content, but it is clearly biased toward Ted. So, I have been collecting some of Ted's blunders and quarrels or comments I've had concerning this topic, along with a few tingles. Sorry that some of it may be redundant, but those who can skim the content can understand why

my concerns (as well as the concerns of prominent economists such as Thomas Sowell), have been validated by none other than Ted in his own words himself.

<https://www.conservativereview.com/>

I do check out the links. I am looking for the truth. I like Ted, but I don't hold him to saintly status, and I see his flaws. I'm looking for the truth, and I see a lot of Ted-bots in this daze and I'm trying to snap them out of it. I've supported others too, campaigned, so that's good you're doing such a thing. The more fanaticism I see in regards to Ted, the more I see facts ignore - similar to what most Rs have done with Reagan, and so he is lifted up to super R status, and I'm saying he doesn't by far deserve it. I am currently favoring others, but when I make comments like "Jindhal is my boy", what I'm really doing is fishing for people who can support my argument or shoot it down with facts so I can make better decisions. It doesn't seem like you've seen the links about Ted or read his arguments either.

June

30, 2015

To all the Ted-Bots (and the progressives who pretend to be):

To set the record straight, I'm not saying that I wouldn't vote for Ted should he be elected, but as any evaluator of a topic, we need to look at the hardcore facts. Only recently have I started to collect more data on the Ted-bot syndrome. Facts and silly Obama-Love-Saint like comments for Cruz. Some of you got tingles where tingles aren't warranted. WAKE UP, man!

Doesn't seem like many RS viewers are much interested in Debate. These are my comments concerning what I've already stated - there's more about Ted you should know, but you'll have to do that research yourself, if some of it magically pops up again, I'll post it. I've also posted some of the silly love festivities - the tingle-up-your-leg comments below. Sorry for redundancy, but I'm trying to move on to more important things.

<http://edition.cnn.com/2013/09/25/politics/shutdown-showdown/>

<http://therightscoop.com/ted-cruz-explains-why-he-supported-fast-track-trade-bill-tpa/>

Just a quick summary. Sorry guys, I don't have time to

go digging for all of Ted's blunders, but there are more. I try to put many things on my website, but none of my readers (students of English as a second language) would be able to follow any of it or be interested in it. I'll correct one of my statements that I

wouldn't vote for Ted – I will vote for him if I have to, but you all put these people on a pedestal like you are waiting for a savior like Jesus or Moses (Moses – just read some interesting stuff about this guy). You guys seem to be Ted droids, and I'm saying STOP already, he's made some serious blunders. I don't know who I'll vote for, but I will be repulsed for any candidate who supported Obama in any way – even if they said “He's a nice guy” (Trump and others). I have very few opinions, I base my arguments on facts, but I did mis-hear or mis-read a couple things lately. Maybe 'cause I'm just too shocked at the nonsense. Nevertheless, my underlying facts stand – including those of Reagan. We can say that Obama is in fact the result of Reagan because Reagan too took his eyes off the ball – not just him, he was only a part of the procession. And to show you those facts – not my opinion – would take far more time than I have. Good day!

<http://edition.cnn.com/2013/09/25/politics/shutdown-showdown/>

(Video) Ted Cruz explains why he supported FAST TRACK trade bill (TPA): ‘We don't know what's in it’. The name is FAST TRACK! Yea, without this we can't negotiate an agreement? I don't trust Obama at all – If we want the next president to have Fast Track authority – sounds like he's

wearing Obama's dress and Pelosi's earrings. ..TPA is 6 or 700 pages, now I'm not going to represent to you that I've studied every paragraph closely (so if he or his staff or his lawyers won't do it, how is he gonna expect us to??). And

on reading it it was a relatively (keywords here – I haven't read it thoroughly, and 'relatively'. I did not have weeks to study it (But I still want Fast Track to be Fast Tracked!). Unfortunately, I think the admin to keep the text secret (so what's unusual about that? And people are saying it's an open door to climate change initiatives and more immigration run a muck). Given the abuse of power from this admin only encourages people to say, what horrible

things must be in there if they're hiding the text (Yes, let's hear them. Well, you can hear them from Mark Levin (who I normally support, though his Rand Paul interviews have been pathetic)). Now let me give you two bits of good news, no agreement can be voted on until the text has been public for 60 days (is that Ted in Pelosi's dress and with O's earrings, or vice versa??). Which means everyone will get the opportunity to read for two months the text of TPP to look at it, if there's some provision buried in paragraph 5032 (paragraph, not page, - my bad – but, sorry Ted in Obama dress, I don't have time for that, but there are experts who I do admire who have read it and who have said that that is a VERY VERY bad idea) – that I missed on a quick read, in 60 days (which experts who I respect, and who know procedure say it will be too late), will have time to study it (like ObamaCare, and on and on.. and assess is this beneficial or not (For you Reaganites, wasn't Reagan the one who coined the phrase “Just say NO”?? Ok, I've made an assessment (based on there is not one iota of a thing

that Obama has done that has been in the USA's best interest, so why start now?? By the way, how do these massive bills (=regulations get printed out so quickly – bet if you all studied Soros and the like, we could find more answers to those questions), others have to, and we say NO, and .. I think Mr. o should make it public right now. Cool, and a big applause for the Ted-droids.

<http://therightscoop.com/ted-cruz-goes-rounds-with-katie-couric/>

“Let's go back to the (4:00) to the Supreme Court, then we'll talk more about the atmosphere...on Thursday you called, on the floor of the Senate you called the justices of the Supreme Court ..rogue justices... , but in your book you call him an EXTRAORDINARY ADVOCATE WITH IMMENSE CREDIBILITY...(A Time for Truth: Reigniting the Promise of America30 Jun 2015) – Just a month before he called them rogue...

CONSERVATIVE LEGEND THOMAS SOWELL TURNS ON TED CRUZ



JAMIE WEINSTEIN

Senior Editor

5:14 PM 02/19/2014

Read more: <http://dailycaller.com/2014/02/19/conservative-legend-thomas-sowell-turns-on-ted-cruz/#ixzz3edh2Cb29>

<http://dailycaller.com/2014/02/19/conservative-legend-thomas-sowell-turns-on-ted-cruz/>

9/05/2013 @ 8:00AM 19,617 VIEWS

HOW THOMAS SOWELL LONG AGO PREDICTED OBAMACARE'S LOOMING FAILURE

<http://www.forbes.com/sites/jeffreydorfman/2013/09/05/how-thomas-sowell-long-ago-predicted-obamacares-looming-failure/>

Ted Cruz's immigration plan sounds a lot like Marco Rubio's... UPDATE: Cruz campaign responds - Posted by soopermexican on Jul 5, 2015 at 11:00 AM

"I really like Ted Cruz because he has distinguished himself from the other candidates by being a fighter while wearing a smile and not throwing any rhetorical bombs. But,"

<http://therightscoop.com/ted-cruzs-immigration-plan-sounds-a-lot-like-marco-rubios/>

<http://therightscoop.com/repost-mark-levin-exposes-how-us-senate-just-gutted-constitutional-treaty-power-and-gave-it-to-obama/>

<http://www.timesofisrael.com/ted-cruz-us-negotiators-lack-understanding-of-iran/>

http://www.senate.gov/legislative/LIS/roll_call_lists/roll_call_vote_cfm.cfm?congress=114&session=1&vote=00173

http://www.senate.gov/legislative/LIS/roll_call_lists/roll_call_vote_cfm.cfm?congress=114&session=1&vote=00174

Thanks. So Ted Cruz voted NO on cloture, which is strictly procedural to end debate, but voted yes on the bill's passage. So Ted thinks this is a good deal though he argues against it? Is there something I'm not getting here? I listen to Mark Levin and agree with him all the way on this issue. I think the devil has descended. Have I missed something?

<http://therightscoop.com/repost-mark-levin-exposes-how-us-senate-just-gutted-constitutional-treaty-power-and-gave-it-to-obama/>

One blogger posted this for me:

Oh please, Cruz has said over and over that dealing with Iran is wrong and dangerous , perhaps if you look for it you will find it instead of bashing him day after day.

~~~~~

Cruz: We Still Have an Opportunity to Tell the Truth about Today's 'Mistake of Historic Proportion'

Congress Must Stop a Deal that Puts Iran on Path Toward Nuclear Bomb

HOUSTON, Texas — U.S. Sen. Ted Cruz, R-Texas, released the following statement in response to the nuclear deal negotiated with Iran:

"Today, the international community led by the United States has agreed to not only legitimize and perpetuate the Iranian nuclear program, but also to further arm and enrich the brutal theocratic regime that has oppressed the Iranian people for more than

thirty years – a regime that is wrongfully holding United States citizens captive, that is sponsoring radical Islamic terrorism across the globe, and that regularly promotes the destruction of both Israel and America throughout its streets.

“Despite these facts, it seems President Obama would concede almost anything to get any deal – even a terrible deal – from the Islamic Republic of Iran’s Supreme Leader Ali Khamenei. Under the terms of this deal, Iran will retain all of its centrifuges, one-third of which will continue to spin. Rather than the most intrusive inspections regime in history that we were promised, IAEA inspectors must petition the mullahsto visit sensitive sites, and wait for two weeks for their permission. In a final, shocking concession, the United States will support lifting of the United Nations arms embargos that restrict the Iranian ballistic missile program and arms trafficking. And in return, billions of dollars of economic relief will flow to Tehran.

“Yet, in his remarks this morning, the President glossed over the truth about Iran’s world-leading state-sponsorship of terrorism that is violently destabilizing the region, and would grow more deadly should the Iranians get a nuclear bomb. He failed to mention American citizens, Saeed Abedini, Amir Hekmati, and Jason Rezaian, who continue to languish in Iranian prisons or Robert Levinson, who is still unaccounted for. For them, today is no ‘opportunity to move in a new direction’ as the President claimed. We owe it to our fellow Americans to elevate, not ignore, their plight, to demand their swift and unconditional release by the implacably hostile regime that holds them.

“Even by the low standards of the Joint Plan of Action, this is a staggeringly bad deal. It is a fundamental betrayal of the security of the United States and of our closest allies, first and foremost Israel.

“But thankfully, it is not a done deal. We still have an opportunity to tell the truth about what Prime Minister Netanyahu called today a ‘bad mistake of historic proportion.’

“Congress will have 60 days to review it, and the American people will have 60 days to tell their elected representatives just what they think of it. I urge all my fellow citizens to speak out and let their elected leaders know that even if President Obama won’t see it, we know the leaders of the Islamic Republic who lead crowds in chants of ‘Death to America’ and ‘Death to Israel’ are not our partners in peace, and must not be put on the path to a nuclear bomb.”

<https://www.tedcruz.org/news/cruz-we-still-have-an-opportunity-to-tell-the-truth-about-todays-mistake-of-historic-proportion/>

...and I responded...

mediaaccess: July 15, 2015

---

Talk is cheap. Actions speak louder than words. Doesn't it disturb you that Ted has yet been able to muster support, then after all the bickering and fighting and tantrums, he votes the opposite of what he says he believes in. I don't get it, but that is the simple fact. His campaign is lackluster.

---

Another blogger wrote this in response to the Ted-bot love fest:

---

AZBrownEyes • an hour ago

Okay, I have to say this, and don't everybody come after me with pitchforks. Cruz voted in favor of the Corker Bill which basically took away the ability of Congress to overturn this disaster. It's a bill that ensured, from the moment it was signed, that no matter how bad this Iran deal was, the Congress essentially cut off its own legs to defeat it. Am I to believe, as smart and knowledgeable of the Constitution that Cruz is, that he didn't realize HONESTLY what he was signing on to? Folks, I don't believe that. I also don't believe that he was unaware that giving Obama Fast-Track authority was the dumbest thing anybody in Congress could ever do, and yet, he spent months pushing for it and supporting it. Sure, he backed out... at the very last minute. The damage had been done by then. I hate feeling this way, but none of this sits well with me. None of it. I know all about the excuses and reasons Cruz has given for the Fast-Track and the Corker legislation. It just doesn't sit well with me. Sorry guys. Yes, I still like Cruz, and he is still hovering up there as a favorite for me. I'm just not as solid about him as I was at first.

---

I commented to a Ted-bot. Someone also criticized me for calling Ted's campaign lackluster. They argued that Cruz has raised a lot of money and then proceeded to call me an idiot or something because I used the word lackluster.

If raising money is the only bar that it takes to not have a lackluster campaign, well, then I concede. At the time of that era, Cruz was polling at about 5%..

I'm writing more, but doesn't anyone wonder why Cruz campaigns one way and votes the other. Why he says he leads a charge, but has no Senators following him? That second part, I'M not saying is Cruz' fault, per se, 'cause of the crazy world of today, but .. just saying. Due to the criticism I've received on informing people of some Cruz inconsistencies, and finding the true nature of biases in his favor, I was forced to defend my stance with evidence. There is more, but I don't have time for collecting all the info. I will be writing a critical review of those who post on RS - the absurdities in their pretense for their "objective analysis" of this Cruz phenomenon, but I now feel compelled to put them and what they say - nothing essential - mostly "conservatives" copying the Saul Alinsky playbook - leftist tactics, or something, into perspective. So, this pdf I've compiled is a bit disorganized and I suspect will stay that way, but it does provide many concerns I have with this Ted phenomenon. Not in my words, but in Ted's. And I'm not the only one about this phenomenon. So, without further ado (It'll be updated in 4 minutes too, but will be updated in a couple weeks to answer my

critiques)’: [http://www.mediaaccess.hu/userspace/pdf\\_files/For%20Ted-bots-2015-July-25.pdf](http://www.mediaaccess.hu/userspace/pdf_files/For%20Ted-bots-2015-July-25.pdf)

So, if one were to follow Clarence Thomas’ career, one would clearly be able to see a very decisive difference in leadership. Even the great Thomas Sowell has turned from Cruz according to the one article. I don’t know the details, so I don’t want to comment. One of my critics put up Sowell as some kind of guy who doesn’t follow his own teachings, and that is why that one article was put to my attention. With that said, I have learned quite a bit from Thomas Sowell – I read ‘Basic Economics’ and am currently finishing ‘Intellectuals and Society’, so I would like to know more about what he has to say about the issue, but knowing Sowell the way I do, I can believe the article to be accurate enough. So, he and I run parallel in our assessments, but then I am not giving you my opinion. I am giving you Ted in his own words, on video, and in articles. Even if you take what Mark Levin says in the above links, and I think I’ve included a Sarah Palin sound bite, they stand up for Ted – sort of – as I do – but, as I do (I do it more directly), they say how ‘LACKLUSTER’ the Senate is = a representation of Ted. Ted would be my second choice if I had to choose, but I can’t believe all these candidates and all their baggage – I don’t believe we have a large selection of good candidates – if it were up to me, I’d ask a West, or someone else to lead.

---

You Don't Know Jack • an hour ago

Trump = rhetoric

Cruz = rhetoric + action

mediaaccess You Don't Know Jack • an hour ago

Vice Versa. According to polls Cruz is doing 5% = lackluster. I plan to elaborate and respond in more detail regarding those RINOs - or however they should be labeled - for bashing me for showing what you're saying here is quite the *au contraire mon frère*. I'm not giving you my opinion, as Ted Nugent might put it. Cruz has a record that is quite troubling. I could find more to list if I had the time, but I don't. It's either Trump or Cruz (If we must have more than one choice), but Cruz doesn't give me tingles up my leg. I hope to respond to some who called me 'idiot and 'troll', Jeb lover, and "I don't make sense' ..and worse... soon enough: But in the meantime, for those who are not yet full-fledged Ted-bots, Just something to show you why I'm concerned about Ted (Though he would be my second and only other choice): It's a bit messy, I confess, but the information is there for those who'd like to be informed. Everyone needs to stop watching the show and look to see how the puppet masters and puppets are put

together: [http://www.mediaaccess.hu/userspace/pdf\\_files/For%20Ted-bots-2015-July-19.pdf](http://www.mediaaccess.hu/userspace/pdf_files/For%20Ted-bots-2015-July-19.pdf)

---

---

Here you go. If I had started earlier, I would have more but I wasn't really planning on bashing Cruz. I originally first made a critique of a Tingle-for-Ted comment, then I was called an idiot and a Troll (still trying to learn what exactly that means), then I submitted more evidence, and then more dirt, and now I got this. Was going to write about the whole thing, but I wasn't expecting it to go so far and I don't have time for it - I wish I did. RS kicked me off and my comment off 'cause someone reported me (a.k.a. RS and many in this camp are RINO-like and have a similar agenda as the Democrat Party (a.k.a. communist party). I wanted to write about that using loads of examples, and logic in my reasoning, but it wouldn't be worth it. Those who are this way would respond much like the Democrats - with nonsense and personal attacks, and those others who might be interested wouldn't read the essay, which could be 10 or 15 pages - and I have other priorities, so I'll skip it. I am on this site to learn from others and disseminate info to others only. RS is generally only interested in feel-good comments, comments that complement each other, as you can see if you follow the blogging on this page long enough. Anyway, what is written below is from a collection of info. Not well organized for my constraint is time, but Ted is incompetent.

I have to reformat the info. here too because something is wrong with the format. I'll try putting it in notepad first:

**WARNING:** The new term on RS for 'Racist' is now 'Troll', so if you are called a Troll here, it's the same as calling you a 'Racist', and to 'Shut up' and 'Get Lost'.

**WARNING:** It has been confirmed that some of the Ted supporters (not all) seem to have contempt for those who don't feel that tingle. It has been confirmed that those who post the following link have a bias toward Ted, and are either directly or indirectly working for him. I have not made up my mind yet, but the more reviews I see from the Ted-bots, the more I question the sincerity in their objectivity, and the more I see Ted's blunders, incompetence, inexperience, the less I like him. He talks well – mostly – so I'm not explicitly against him – questioning with diligence. I am just doing a cursory logging of some of it and some of the interactions I've had with a couple Ted-bots.

I am doing all I can on the subject of best-candidate, I don't have a lot of time to

organize my thoughts well here in, but I've decided that someone should try to put something on that tingle before we all have soiled underwear. Although what is posted here in will seem less than organized, please look past that and see the substantive nature of this phenomenon. There is quite a lot of substance and quite a lot of reason to be skeptical of Ted. Even still, and even if Ted did every single thing he promises today, it still won't be enough.

There are a few problems I have with the following link that Ted-bots are posting. It is useful, but one should look past it. I haven't had time to study its content, but it is clearly biased toward Ted. So, I have been collecting some of Ted's blunders and quarrels or comments I've had concerning this topic, along with a few tingles. Sorry that some of it may be redundant, but those who can skim the content can understand why my concerns (as well as the concerns of prominent economists such as Thomas Sowell), have been validated by none other than Ted in his own words himself.

<https://www.conservativereview.com/>

I do check out the links. I am looking for the truth. I like Ted, but I don't hold him to saintly status, and I see his flaws. I'm looking for the truth, and I see a lot of Ted-bots in this daze and I'm trying to snap them out of it. I've supported others too, campaigned , so that's good you're doing such a thing. The more fanaticism I see in regards to Ted, the more I see facts ignore - similar to what most Rs have done with Reagan, and so he is lifted up to super R status, and I'm saying he doesn't by far deserve it. I am currently favoring others, but when I make comments like "Jindhal is my boy", what I'm really doing is fishing for people who can support my argument or shoot it down with facts so I can make better decisions. It doesn't seem like you've seen the links about Ted or read his arguments either.

June

30, 2015

To all the Ted-Bots (and the progressives who pretend to be):

To set the record straight, I'm not saying that I wouldn't vote for Ted should he be elected, but as any evaluator of a topic, we need to look at the hardcore facts. Only recently have I started to collect more data on the Ted-bot syndrome. Facts and silly Obama-Love-Saint like comments for Cruz. Some of you got tingles where tingles aren't warranted. WAKE UP, man!

Doesn't seem like many RS viewers are much interested in Debate. These are my

comments concerning what I've already stated – there's more about Ted you should know, but you'll have to do that research yourself, if some of it magically pops up again, I'll post it. I've also posted some of the silly love festivities – the tingle-up-your-leg comments below. Sorry for redundancy, but I'm trying to move on to more important things.

<http://edition.cnn.com/2013/09/25/politics/shutdown-showdown/>

<http://therightscoop.com/ted-cruz-explains-why-he-supported-fast-track-trade-bill-tpa/>

Just a quick summary. Sorry guys, I don't have time to

go digging for all of Ted's blunders, but there are more. I try to put many things on my website, but none of my readers (students of English as a second language) would be able to follow any of it or be interested in it. I'll correct one of my statements that I wouldn't vote for Ted – I will vote for him if I have to, but you all put these people on a pedestal like you are waiting for a savior like Jesus or Moses (Moses – just read some interesting stuff about this guy). You guys seem to be Ted droids, and I'm saying STOP already, he's made some serious blunders. I don't know who I'll vote for, but I will be repulsed for any candidate who supported Obama in any way – even if they said “He's a nice guy” (Trump and others). I have very few opinions, I base my arguments on facts, but I did mis-hear or mis-read a couple things lately. Maybe 'cause I'm just too shocked at the nonsense. Nevertheless, my underlying facts stand – including those of Reagan. We can say that Obama is in fact the result of Reagan because Reagan too took his eyes off the ball – not just him, he was only a part of the procession. And to show you those facts – not my opinion – would take far more time than I have. Good day!

<http://edition.cnn.com/2013/09/25/politics/shutdown-showdown/>

Ted Cruz explains why he supported FAST TRACK trade bill (TPA): ‘We don't know what's in it'. The name is FAST TRACK! Yea, without this we can't negotiate an agreement? I don't trust Obama at all – If we want the next president to have Fast Track authority – sounds like he's

<http://therightscoop.com/ted-cruz-explains-why-he-supported-fast-track-trade-bill-tpa/>

wearing Obama's dress and Pelosi's earrings. ..TPA is 6 or 700 pages, now I'm not going

to represent to you that I've studied every paragraph closely (so if he or his staff or his lawyers won't do it, how is he gonna expect us to??). And

on reading it it was a relatively (keywords here – I haven't read it thoroughly, and 'relatively'. I did not have weeks to study it (But I still want Fast Track to be Fast Tracked!). Unfortunately, I think the admin to keep the text secret (so what's unusual about that? And people are saying it's an open door to climate change initiatives and more immigration run a muck). Given the abuse of power from this admin only encourages people to say, what horrible

things must be in there if they're hiding the text (Yes, let's hear them. Well, you can hear them from Mark Levin (who I normally support, though his Rand Paul interviews have been pathetic)). Now let me give you two bits of good news, no agreement can be voted on until the text has been public for 60 days (is that Ted in Pelosi's dress and with O's earrings, or vice versa??). Which means everyone will get the opportunity to read for two months the text of TPP to look at it, if there's some provision buried in paragraph 5032 (paragraph, not page, - my bad – but, sorry Ted in Obama dress, I don't have time for that, but there are experts who I do admire who have read it and who have said that that is a VERY VERY bad idea) – that I missed on a quick read, in 60 days (which experts who I respect, and who know procedure say it will be too late), will have time to study it (like ObamaCare, and on and on.. and assess is this beneficial or not (For you Reaganites, wasn't Reagan the one who coined the phrase "Just say NO"?? Ok, I've made an assessment (based on there is not one iota of a thing

that Obama has done that has been in the USA's best interest, so why start now?? By the way, how do these massive bills (=regulations get printed out so quickly – bet if you all studied Soros and the like, we could find more answers to those questions), others have to, and we say NO, and .. I think Mr. o should make it public right now. Cool, and a big applause for the Ted-droids.

<http://therightscoop.com/ted-cruz-goes-rounds-with-katie-couric/>

“Let's go back to the (4:00) to the Supreme Court, then we'll talk more about the atmosphere...on Thursday you called, on the floor of the Senate you called the justices of the Supreme Court ..rogue justices... , but in your book you call him an EXTRAORDINARY ADVOCATE WITH IMMENSE CREDIBILITY...(A Time for Truth: Reigniting the Promise of America<sup>30</sup> Jun 2015) – Just a month before he called them rogue...

CONSERVATIVE LEGEND THOMAS SOWELL TURNS ON TED CRUZ

JAMIE WEINSTEIN

Senior Editor

5:14 PM 02/19/2014

Read more: <http://dailycaller.com/2014/02/19/conservative-legend-thomas-sowell-turns-on-ted-cruz/#ixzz3edh2Cb29>

<http://dailycaller.com/2014/02/19/conservative-legend-thomas-sowell-turns-on-ted-cruz/>

9/05/2013 @ 8:00AM 19,617 VIEWS

HOW THOMAS SOWELL LONG AGO PREDICTED OBAMACARE'S LOOMING FAILURE

<http://www.forbes.com/sites/jeffreydorfman/2013/09/05/how-thomas-sowell-long-ago-predicted-obamacares-looming-failure/>

Ted Cruz's immigration plan sounds a lot like Marco Rubio's... UPDATE: Cruz campaign responds - Posted by soopermexican on Jul 5, 2015 at 11:00 AM

"I really like Ted Cruz because he has distinguished himself from the other candidates by being a fighter while wearing a smile and not throwing any rhetorical bombs. But,"

<http://therightscoop.com/ted-cruzs-immigration-plan-sounds-a-lot-like-marco-rubios/>

<http://therightscoop.com/repost-mark-levin-exposes-how-us-senate-just-gutted-constitutional-treaty-power-and-gave-it-to-obama/>

<http://www.timesofisrael.com/ted-cruz-us-negotiators-lack-understanding-of-iran/>

[http://www.senate.gov/legislative/LIS/roll\\_call\\_lists/roll\\_call\\_vote\\_cfm.cfm?congress=114&session=1&vote=00173](http://www.senate.gov/legislative/LIS/roll_call_lists/roll_call_vote_cfm.cfm?congress=114&session=1&vote=00173)

[http://www.senate.gov/legislative/LIS/roll\\_call\\_lists/roll\\_call\\_vote\\_cfm.cfm?congress=114&session=1&vote=00174](http://www.senate.gov/legislative/LIS/roll_call_lists/roll_call_vote_cfm.cfm?congress=114&session=1&vote=00174)

Thanks. So Ted Cruz voted NO on cloture, which is strictly procedural to end debate, but voted yes on the bill's passage. So Ted thinks this is a good deal though he argues against it? Is there something I'm not getting here? I listen to Mark Levin and agree with him all the way on this issue. I think the devil has descended. Have I missed something?

<http://therightscoop.com/repost-mark-levin-exposes-how-us-senate-just-gutted-constitutional-treaty-power-and-gave-it-to-obama/>

One blogger posted this for me:

Oh please, Cruz has said over and over that dealing with Iran is wrong and dangerous , perhaps if you look for it you will find it instead of bashing him day after day.

~~~~~

Cruz: We Still Have an Opportunity to Tell the Truth about Today's 'Mistake of Historic Proportion'

Congress Must Stop a Deal that Puts Iran on Path Toward Nuclear Bomb

HOUSTON, Texas — U.S. Sen. Ted Cruz, R-Texas, released the following statement in response to the nuclear deal negotiated with Iran:

“Today, the international community led by the United States has agreed to not only legitimize and perpetuate the Iranian nuclear program, but also to further arm and enrich the brutal theocratic regime that has oppressed the Iranian people for more than thirty years – a regime that is wrongfully holding United States citizens captive, that is sponsoring radical Islamic terrorism across the globe, and that regularly promotes the destruction of both Israel and America throughout its streets.

“Despite these facts, it seems President Obama would concede almost anything to get any deal – even a terrible deal – from the Islamic

Republic of Iran's Supreme Leader Ali Khamenei. Under the terms of this deal, Iran will retain all of its centrifuges, one-third of which will continue to spin. Rather than the most intrusive inspections regime in history that we were promised, IAEA inspectors must petition the mullahsto visit sensitive sites, and wait for two weeks for their permission. In a final, shocking concession, the United States will support lifting of the United Nations arms embargos that restrict the Iranian ballistic missile program and arms trafficking. And in return, billions of dollars of economic relief will flow to Tehran.

“Yet, in his remarks this morning, the President glossed over the truth about Iran’s world-leading state-sponsorship of terrorism that is violently destabilizing the region, and would grow more deadly should the Iranians get a nuclear bomb. He failed to mention American citizens, Saeed Abedini, Amir Hekmati, and Jason Rezaian, who continue to languish in Iranian prisons or Robert Levinson, who is still unaccounted for. For them, today is no ‘opportunity to move in a new direction’ as

the President claimed. We owe it to our fellow Americans to elevate, not ignore, their plight, to demand their swift and unconditional release by the implacably hostile regime that holds them.

“Even by the low standards of the Joint Plan of Action, this is a staggeringly bad deal. It is a fundamental betrayal of the security of the United States and of our closest allies, first and foremost Israel.

“But thankfully, it is not a done deal. We still have an opportunity to tell the truth about what Prime Minister Netanyahu called today a ‘bad mistake of historic proportion.’

“Congress will have 60 days to review it, and the American people will have 60 days to tell their elected representatives just what they think of it. I urge all my fellow citizens to speak out and let their elected leaders know that even if President Obama won’t see it, we know the leaders of the Islamic Republic who lead crowds in chants of ‘Death to America’ and ‘Death to Israel’ are not our partners in peace, and must not be put on the path to a nuclear bomb.”

<https://www.tedcruz.org/news/cruz-we-still-have-an-opportunity-to-tell-the-truth-about-todays-mistake-of-historic-proportion/>

...and I responded...

mediaaccess: July 15, 2015

Talk is cheap. Actions speak louder than words. Doesn't it disturb you that Ted has yet been able to muster support, then after all the bickering and fighting and tantrums, he votes the opposite of what he says he believes in. I don't get it, but that is the simple fact. His campaign is lackluster.

Another blogger wrote this in response to the Ted-bot love fest:

AZBrownEyes • an hour ago

Okay, I have to say this, and don't everybody come after me with pitchforks. Cruz voted in favor of the Corker Bill which basically took away the ability of Congress to overturn this disaster. It's a bill that ensured, from the moment it was signed, that no matter how bad this Iran deal was, the Congress essentially cut off its own legs to defeat it. Am I to believe, as smart and knowledgeable of the Constitution that Cruz is, that he didn't realize HONESTLY what he was signing on to? Folks, I don't believe that. I also don't believe that he was unaware that giving Obama Fast-Track authority was the dumbest thing anybody in Congress could ever do, and yet, he spent months pushing for it and supporting it. Sure, he backed out... at the very last minute. The damage had been done by then. I hate feeling this way, but none of this sits well with me. None of it. I know all about the excuses and reasons Cruz has given for the Fast-Track and the Corker legislation. It just doesn't sit well with me. Sorry guys. Yes, I still like Cruz, and he is still hovering up there as a favorite for me. I'm just not as solid about him as I was at first.

I commented to a Ted-bot. Someone also criticized me for calling Ted's campaign lackluster. They argued that Cruz has raised a lot of money and then proceeded to call me an idiot or something because I used the word lackluster.

If raising money is the only bar that it takes to not have a lackluster campaign, well, then I concede. At the time of that era, Cruz was polling at about 5%..

'I'm writing more, but doesn't anyone wonder why Cruz campaigns one way and votes the other. Why he says he leads a charge, but has no Senators following him? That second part, I'M not saying is Cruz' fault, per se, 'cause of the crazy world of today, but .. just saying. Due to the criticism I've received on informing people of some Cruz inconsistencies, and finding the true nature of biases in his favor, I was forced to defend my stance with evidence. There is more, but I don't have time for collecting all the info. I will be writing a critical review of those who post on RS - the absurdities in their pretense for their "objective analysis" of this Cruz phenomenon, but I now feel compelled to put them and what they say - nothing essential - mostly "conservatives" copying the Saul Alinsky playbook - leftist tactics, or something, into perspective. So, this pdf I've compiled is a bit disorganized and I suspect will stay that way, but it does provide many concerns I have with this Ted phenomenon. Not in my words, but in Ted's. And I'm not the only one about this phenomenon. So, without further ado (It'll be updated in 4 minutes too, but will be updated in a couple weeks to answer my critiques)': http://www.mediaaccess.hu/userspace/pdf_files/For%20Ted-bots-2015-July-25.pdf

So, if one were to follow Clarence Thomas' career, one would clearly be able to see a very decisive difference in leadership. Even the great Thomas Sowell has turned from Cruz according to the one article. I don't know the details, so I don't want to comment. One of

my critics put up Sowell as some kind of guy who doesn't follow his own teachings, and that is why that one article was put to my attention. With that said, I have learned quite a bit from Thomas Sowell – I read 'Basic Economics' and am currently finishing 'Intellectuals and Society', so I would like to know more about what he has to say about the issue, but knowing Sowell the way I do, I can believe the article to be accurate enough. So, he and I run parallel in our assessments, but then I am not giving you my opinion. I am giving you Ted in his own words, on video, and in articles. Even if you take what Mark Levin says in the above links, and I think I've included a Sarah Palin sound bite, they stand up for Ted – sort of – as I do – but, as I do (I do it more directly), they say how 'LACKLUSTER' the Senate is = a representation of Ted. Ted would be my second choice if I had to choose, but I can't believe all these candidates and all their baggage – I don't believe we have a large selection of good candidates – if it were up to me, I'd ask a West, or someone else to lead.

Riza Aguinaldo B. Alann

Okay, I have to say this, and don't everybody come after me with pitchforks.

Cruz voted in favor of the Corker Bill which basically took away the ability of Congress to overturn this disaster. It's a bill that ensured, from the moment it was signed, that no matter how bad this Iran deal was, the Congress essentially cut off its own legs to defeat it. Am I to believe, as smart and knowledgeable of the Constitution that Cruz is, that he didn't realize HONESTLY what he was signing on to? Folks, I don't believe that. I also don't believe that he was unaware that giving Obama Fast-Track authority was the dumbest thing anybody in Congress could ever do, and yet, he spent months pushing for it and supporting it. Sure, he backed out... at the very last minute. The damage had been done by then. I hate feeling this way, but none of this sits well with me. None of it. I know all about the excuses and reasons Cruz has given for the Fast-Track and the Corker legislation. It just doesn't sit well with me. Sorry guys. Yes, I still like Cruz, I'm just not as solid

about him as I was at first. TRUTH BE TOLD!

You Don't Know Jack • an hour ago

Trump = rhetoric

Cruz = rhetoric + action

mediaaccess You Don't Know Jack • an hour ago

Vice Versa. According to polls Cruz is doing 5% = lackluster. I plan to elaborate and respond in more detail regarding those RINOs - or however they should be labeled - for bashing me for showing what you're saying here is quite the au contraire mon frère. I'm not giving you my opinion, as Ted Nugent might put it. Cruz has a record that is quite troubling. I could find more to list if I had the time, but I don't. It's either Trump or Cruz (If we must have more than one choice), but Cruz doesn't give me tingles up my leg. I hope to respond to some who called me 'idiot and 'troll', Jeb lover, and "I don't make sense' ..and worse... soon enough: But in the meantime, for those who are not yet full-fledged Ted-bots, Just something to show you why I'm concerned about Ted (Though he would be my second and only other choice): It's a bit messy, I confess, but the information is there for those who'd like to be informed. Everyone needs to stop watching the show and look to see how the puppet masters and puppets are put together: http://www.mediaaccess.hu/userspace/pdf_files/For%20Ted-bots-2015-July-19.pdf

WHY OBAMA AND HILLARY MUST STOP DONALD TRUMP AT ALL COSTS

<https://youtu.be/SRbtf2UFcmc>

Carly Fiorina EDUCATES Glenn Beck after he says 'You seem to be a little too BIG GOVERNMENT'

Read more: <http://therightscoop.com/carly-fiorina-educates-glenn-beck-after-he-says-you-seem-to-be-a-little-too-big-government/#ixzz3iE3gbphv>

<http://therightscoop.com/carly-fiorina-educates-glenn-beck-after-he-says-you-seem-to-be-a-little-too-big-government/>

Kordane • 15 minutes ago

I didn't like how she called it "Crony Capitalism", since what happens with that has nothing to do with Capitalism. I'd be fine with calling it Cronyism, Fascism or

Corporatism - But I reject, emphatically, the idea that this has anything to do with Capitalism. Just because there's "money" involved, doesn't mean it is automatically something to do with Capitalism. Capitalism is about having a society in which the individual's unalienable rights are protected, as an absolute, by the government - No violations permitted, not by individuals, foreign nations, or by the government itself.

mediaaccess Kordane • 5 minutes ago

Well, I think that she is using the term that the cronies use. Most of them would call themselves capitalists, and for us who see things in reality, the cronies capitalize on the weak. So, it's a matter of perspective. I call them communists (or the prgression toward communism) controlling the capitalist market. I like Carly, but I'm with Beck - there's something wrong with her, but I can't remember what it is. As far as I can remember about all the candidates, I would vote for Carly over Cruz.

STUPID: DONALD TRUMP BLAMES GEORGE W. BUSH FOR THE TWIN TOWERS FALLING ON 9/11 Posted by The Right Scoop on Oct 16, 2015 at 1:30 PM

<http://therightscoop.com/stupid-donald-trump-blames-george-w-bush-for-the-twin-towers-falling-on-911/>

Here's another bit of bad news that the Koch Brother says about Trump -- and it's true. I'll go for Carson or Cruz. I'm not happy about our 'massive' selection of candidates -- makes me wanna puke. Not a one is constitutional - well, maybe Carson and Trump, but competent and constitutional? Grimace:
http://video.foxnews.com/v/4561167771001/kasichs-plan-return-money-power-influence-to-states/?playlist_id=2114913880001#sp=show-clips

[danaellen](#) [mediaaccess](#) • 10 hours ago

Competent and constitutional? It is a no brainer that is Ted Cruz.

[mediaaccess](#) [danaellen](#) • 8 hours ago

Well, I don't agree. I like Ted in his rhetoric, but his actions don't match. You know he is also an insider. Anyway, I have some bad news about Ted as well, unfortunately. I haven't released this pdf file for awhile, so don't shoot me, I'm only the messenger of bad tidings. It's a bit unorganized because I wasn't planning on collecting dirt on Ted, but I was compelled to do so with the challenges people dared me with when I called Ted out on some inconsistencies. I could have more if I spent time going to the beginning of

Ted's career as a senator. In other words, look behind the stage and behind the rhetoric. Right now it's either Carson or Ted or Trump, but I'm not happy with the choices. For-Ted-bots-2016-Feb-18 - pdf.file: http://www.mediaaccess.hu/userspace/pdf_files/For-Ted-bots-2016-Feb-18.pdf

[danaellen](#) [mediaaccess](#) • 6 hours ago

Troll! i have vetted and followed Ted since his days in college. Go to the huffing ton post and join the other idiot liberals

[mediaaccess](#) [danaellen](#) an hour ago

Yea, as I said in my introduction in the document. It is you who is the Progressive (a.k.a. commie supporter), intolerant bigot. Just because you call someone a troll doesn't make it so. Just because someone shows you facts - and only facts - that you refuse to acknowledge doesn't make him a troll (a.k.a. a bigot and racists). It is those Ted supporters of your stripes who put Ted in the back of the line for me. If Ted is a constitutionalist, he isn't competent, and if he is competent, he isn't a constitutionalist - either way, it's bad news for Ted. Isn't this a free speech site? Not really, but I'll bet I've been coming here way longer than you. One of the best news spots for quick news, but one of the worst spots for posting facts - not opinions, but facts. In fact, most of the info in the pdf come from RS. Your behavior is the very intolerance of a Demorat - from the Saul Alinsky playbook, or Communist Manefesto.

Oct. 19, 2015 I posted a comment stating the fact that all of the bloggers below the Ted Cruz thread are showing an intense bias for the guy. I'm logging their posts to show that they too believe that snow is black. They have arguments without arguments. Not a one provides facts, but only salivate over Ted's words, and criticize me for suggesting they add content to their remarks. These are not the kinds of people I would like to support. They make assumptions and incite arguments without argument. Well, take a look for yourself. I find it a bit scary. They are not trying to conserve the constitution, if anything at all. They are more likely trying to conserve their own agendas, some of which may be the same as others, and some may not. That's not the point. The point is, why post a blog that simply praises a guy who speaks. Well, they did that with Hitler and all the rest, and they are doing that with Hillary now too, without looking at the factual data that supports or refutes that which the politician states:

[mediaaccess](#) 12 hours ago

I see all the bloggers below, and it is incredible to see the biases. Either RS has removed opposing views, or Ted is the angel. Reagan too. So many here put Reagan on the most

high... but, you should all look a bit deeper and find the good and bad in all these people. Support the good, and poignantly call them out and bring attention to the parts that aren't so great. The blogs below - my rating: a bit biased - more than that - intensely biased, which is a synonym for false in one of my English language books.

1 View in discussion

Conservator1 replied to you on STUPID: Donald Trump BLAMES GEORGE W. BUSH for the twin towers falling on 9/11 10 hours ago

mediaaccess 12 hours ago

I really don't make comments, but state facts, so you oppose me by missing the argument and stating nothing more than air-- because, unlike you, I rarely give opinions, but only facts. You've commented a few times now on this one comment I made, and have stated no tangible empirical evidence or argument, but only obscure innuendos. You think I'm a Trump supporter, do you? You're a RINO and a fool with your assumptions. To assume is to make an ass out of u, not me-- with no means to support your positions which you vaguely make, and I have even now little idea about your cause or statement, or means to incite.

Conservator1

Conservator1 10 hours ago

You are resorting to pure bull because you never provided any empirical evidence or argument to challenge and disprove B Zipper's post.

I don't have time to waste anymore on your inconvenient truths or empirical evidence which is a figment of your limited imagination son.

Reply View in discussion

fatjack replied to you on Ted Cruz says he's the ONLY GOP candidate that has a record of ACTUALLY fighting the establishment [FULL INTERVIEW] 11 hours ago

mediaaccess 12 hours ago

I see all the bloggers below, and it is incredible to see the biases. Either RS has removed opposing views, or Ted is the angel. Reagan too. So many here put Reagan on the most high... but, you should all look a bit deeper and find the good and bad in all these people. Support the good, and poignantly call them out and bring attention to the parts that aren't so great. The blogs below - my rating: a bit biased - more than that - intensely biased, which is a synonym for false in one of my English language books.

fatjack

fatjack 11 hours ago

Don't hang around this thread if you're going to pout. Now run alone they need posters on the trump thread.

4 Reply View in discussion

Ben Deho replied to you on Ted Cruz says he's the ONLY GOP candidate that has a record of ACTUALLY fighting the establishment [FULL INTERVIEW] 11 hours ago

mediaaccess 12 hours ago

I see all the bloggers below, and it is incredible to see the biases. Either RS has removed opposing views, or Ted is the angel. Reagan too. So many here put Reagan on the most high... but, you should all look a bit deeper and find the good and bad in all these people. Support the good, and poignantly call them out and bring attention to the parts that aren't so great. The blogs below - my rating: a bit biased - more than that - intensely biased, which is a synonym for false in one of my English language books.

Ben Deho

Ben Deho 11 hours ago

"The blogs below - my rating: a bit biased, which is a synonym for false in one of my English language books...."

Dude. This is like, so deep.

5 Reply View in discussion

oldschool replied to you on Ted Cruz says he's the ONLY GOP candidate that has a record of ACTUALLY fighting the establishment [FULL INTERVIEW] 11 hours ago

mediaaccess 12 hours ago

I see all the bloggers below, and it is incredible to see the biases. Either RS has removed opposing views, or Ted is the angel. Reagan too. So many here put Reagan on the most high... but, you should all look a bit deeper and find the good and bad in all these people. Support the good, and poignantly call them out and bring attention to the parts that aren't so great. The blogs below - my rating: a bit biased - more than that - intensely biased, which is a synonym for false in one of my English language books.

oldschool

oldschool 11 hours ago

BS on your post here. There are plenty of favorable Trump threads here at TRS and the TrumpTrolls try and overrun every Cruz post with their arrogance and ridiculousness. If you don't like the Cruz threads, then take Nana's advice and go post on the Trump threads. Or better yet, post on Breitbart, which has become TrumpBart.

see more

9 Reply View in discussion

jrt replied to you on Ted Cruz says he's the ONLY GOP candidate that has a record of ACTUALLY fighting the establishment [FULL INTERVIEW] 12 hours ago

mediaaccess 12 hours ago

I see all the bloggers below, and it is incredible to see the biases. Either RS has removed opposing views, or Ted is the angel. Reagan too. So many here put Reagan on the most high... but, you should all look a bit deeper and find the good and bad in all these people. Support the good, and poignantly call them out and bring attention to the parts that aren't so great. The blogs below - my rating: a bit biased - more than that - intensely biased, which is a synonym for false in one of my English language books.

jrt

jrt 12 hours ago

what? what? and what?.....you don't get out much?

7 Reply View in discussion

Proud Nana replied to you on Ted Cruz says he's the ONLY GOP candidate that has a record of ACTUALLY fighting the establishment [FULL INTERVIEW] 12 hours ago

mediaaccess 12 hours ago

I see all the bloggers below, and it is incredible to see the biases. Either RS has removed opposing views, or Ted is the angel. Reagan too. So many here put Reagan on the most high... but, you should all look a bit deeper and find the good and bad in all these people. Support the good, and poignantly call them out and bring attention to the parts that aren't so great. The blogs below - my rating: a bit biased - more than that - intensely biased, which is a synonym for false in one of my English language books.

Proud Nana

Proud Nana 12 hours ago

Skip on over to the Trump thread, I'm sure you will be happier there.

**December 22, 2015

Muslims believe in one God, Allah. None has the right to be worshiped but Allah; there is no partner unto Him. He has power over everything. Islam is based on this concept which Allah has expressed in The Qur'an. All of them are your enemies, and all of them want to kill you! To say otherwise is to say that there are good Nazis and bad Nazis, or for that matter, good communists and bad communists. They all want one thing - dominance over your life. Your statement is western ideology and has no place in Islam. Sure Muslims fight each other, but they are generally not fighting the ideology, but control over the Caliphate. What part of kill don't you understand?

Three stages of Jihad

http://www.siotw.org/news_english.item.778/three-stages-of-jihad.html

Peaceful Muslims?

<http://therightscoop.com/ted-cruz-nails-it-on-why-he-disagrees-with-donald-trump-on-muslim-ban/>

The True Nature of Islam

<http://therightscoop.com/by-the-numbers-how-demographics-reveal-the-true-nature-of-islam/>

Do you homework on Islam and Muslims - Ted! What part of kill don't you understand?

As for Donald, he should do his homework on Putin!

K-Bob 10 hours ago

He's the most consistent Republican candidate in modern history. So good luck trying do damage on that front.

K-Bob 10 hours ago

He's the most consistent Republican candidate in modern history. So good luck trying do damage on that front.

1 ReplyView in discussion

mediaaccess a few seconds ago

I'm not trying to damage him, but to see all the tingly feelings, well, I think it's pathetic. It's not that I don't like him, it's that I don't have tingles, and I doubt that he's going to be saving the US. Pick your poison, but do it by remembering several facts: For-Ted-bots-2015-Dec-23 - pdf.file:

stage9 11 hours ago

So you're saying that killing 2.1 billion people is the best way to fight terrorism? Wow, not even Joseph Stalin, Vladimir Lenin, Pol Pot and Mao ZeDong combined can make that claim!

“We need a President who understands the first obligation of the Commander-in-Chief is to keep America safe. If I am elected President, we will hunt down and kill the terrorists. We will utterly destroy ISIS. We will stop the terrorist acts before they occur because we will not be prisoners to political correctness. Rather we will speak the truth. Border security is national security and we will not be admitting jihadists as refugees.” - Ted Cruz

Nukeman60 11 hours ago

..."I'm not necessarily supporting Trump to an extreme like many Ted supporters"...

So Ted supporters support Trump to an extreme? You got the right glasses on?
mediaaccess a few seconds ago

Nukeman - does that refer to your brain? Nuked my brain, man?

sagest 11 hours ago

Funny...justs 5 days ago you said you had decided on Trump....today you are leaning toward Trump? Guess I can't trust anything else you post either...so won't be reading your stuff.

7 ReplyView in discussion

mediaaccess a few seconds ago

Cool!

Sounds like a more SANE plan to me.

Mediaaccess - The first obligation is to recognize, identify, and acknowledge the enemy, which is ISLAM. Not radical Islam, but simply Islam. There are no peaceful Muslims. They are coming to kill your way of life. Read and watch the vids that I previously sent.

mediaaccess 12 hours ago Ted is not consistent on several fronts (I'm not necessarily supporting Trump to an extreme like many Ted supporters, but I'm leaning toward Trump): 'Peaceful Muslims??' Is that like peaceful Nazis? It's why I won't be voting for Ted - one of many reasons. Muslims believe in one God, Allah. None has the right to be worshiped but Allah; there is no partner unto Him. He has power over everything. Islam is based on this concept which Allah has expressed in The Qur'an. All of them are your enemies, and all of them want to kill you! To say otherwise is to say that there are good Nazis and bad Nazis, or for that matter, good communists and bad communists. They all want one thing - dominance over your life. Your statement is western ideology and has no place in Islam. Sure Muslims fight each other, but they are generally not fighting the ideology, but control over the Caliphate. What part of kill don't you understand? Three stages of Jihad http://www.siotw.org/news_engl... Peaceful Muslims? <http://therightscoop.com/ted-c...> The True Nature of Islam <http://therightscoop.com/by-th...> Do you homework on Islam and Muslims - Ted! What part of kill don't you understand? As for Donald, he should do his homework on Putin!



Sentinel 12 hours ago

I'm going to mail Ted some cream because that was a wicked burn! (/sarc) I agree that islam is the "religion" of the devil. But if that's the worst thing you can come up with against Ted Cruz, then your political impressions are very thin. I'm not going into the long list of why voting for Trump is riskier... he talks tough and people's knees go weak. I dig what he saying... but his history and record and votes and support... simply doesn't back up his words. But I'm again, not going to debate that with you. We found substantial reasons to NOT vote for Jeb or Rubio or many others. And people who don't like Cruz, have only found superficial reasons not to support him. These water-off-a-duck's-back "facts", opinions, attacks... whatever... are not significant. And I believe America will agree.

Tyrannicus_Rex > American Born ✓^{verified} • 3 hours ago

MacFarlane and Maher show the ineptness of entertainers:

1. Trump is a backlash to 19 trillion in debt
2. Trump is a backlash to a Mexican invasion
3. Trump is a backlash to hacks that want H1B visas to replace Americans
4. Trump is a backlash to life long politicians and government employees
5. Trump is a backlash to people who yap for a living
6. Trump is a backlash to 300,000 Muslim visas from Syria
7. Trump is a backlash to 500 billion dollar trade deficits with China
8. Trump is a backlash to "Amnesty an act of love" Bush
- 9, Trump is a backlash to con men like McCain, Ryan, and McConnell
- 10, Trump is a backlash to the BLM/EPA screwing with the food supply

The aspirations of 18-year old Ted Cruz

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vt-vG_TdOT4

Cruz's father fought with Fidel Castro....and he is running for President.....now that's redefining radical.....He may be concealing something...

WATCH TED CRUZ COACH HIS FAMILY THROUGH A CAMPAIGN AD SHOOT

https://youtu.be/hO_MkcZh-VY

Donald Trump Brilliantly Diminishes Ted Cruz By Putting Him on Full Display – (With Video)...

Trump on Obama's Mosque Speech: 'Maybe He Feels Comfortable There'

<http://www.breitbart.com/video/2016/02/03/trump-on-obamas-mosque-speech-maybe-he-feels-comfortable-there/>

The Men Behind Ted Cruz: Neocons and a CIA Propagandist - Remarkably, more than a few Republicans believe this guy is a libertarian - Kurt Nimmo | Infowars.com - February 4, 2016

<http://www.infowars.com/the-men-behind-ted-cruz-neocons-and-a-cia-propagandist/>

Ted Cruz's Ties To Bush Family Revealed - Cruz may be the ultimate sleeper operative, and his past ties make that seem likely - The Alex Jones Show - February 5, 2016

<http://www.infowars.com/ted-cruzs-ties-to-bush-family-revealed/>

HOLY CRAP! The more I read about Cruz's tract records, the scarier he looks!

Attention citizens, Do not ignore the obvious. Not every Bible versed talking head is a true follower of the word of Christ.

DO NOT BE DECEIVED!!!

Senator Jeff Sessions just released his latest “High Alert” press release. In it, he told the truth about the TPA that Ted Cruz and Barack Obama have been trying to keep secret. It is posted in full below, and bolded some particularly egregious details about the TPA.

CRITICAL ALERT: FAST-TRACK WOULD PRE-APPROVE FORMATION OF SWEEPING TRANSNATIONAL UNION

Promoters of fast-track executive authority have relied on semantic obfuscation in an effort to deny the obvious: the President’s top priority is obtaining fast-track authority because he knows it will expand his powers and allow him to cement his legacy through the formation of a new political and economic union. If, as promoters amazingly suggest, the President had more powers without fast-track, he would veto it. The authority granted in “Trade Promotion Authority” is authority transferred from Congress to the Executive and, ultimately, to international bureaucrats.

The entire purpose of fast-track is for Congress to surrender its power to the Executive for six years. Legislative concessions include: control over the content of legislation, the power to fully consider that legislation on the floor, the power to keep debate open until Senate cloture is invoked, and the constitutional requirement that treaties receive a two-thirds vote. Legislation cannot even be amended.

By contrast, without fast-track, Congress retains all of its legislative powers, individual members retain all of their procedural tools, and every single line, jot, and tittle of trade text is publicly available before any congressional action is taken

<http://prntly.com/blog/?p=5129>

As Ted Cruz swings into Veteran Territory: South Carolina, he faces scrutiny over his plans for veterans, and it is leaving many Veterans angry and shivering in rage. This comes after his rude comments about Veterans that caused him to issue an apology:

Wrapping up his 21-hour fake filibuster calling for the defunding of Obamacare, Sen. Ted Cruz (R-TX) jokingly thanked his staff “who have endured this Bataan death march” last week. The lighthearted comparison outraged veterans of the actual 1942 death march, who confronted Cruz in his office on Monday. The American Coalition for Filipino Veterans issued a letter demanding an apology for Cruz’s “ill-advised, and insulting televised statement.”

During the Bataan Death March, Japanese troops killed more than 10,000 American and Filipino prisoners of war during a five-day 65-mile march to a Japanese prison camp. Beatings and random executions were common, and hundreds died from heat, exhaustion, or starvation along the way. Once the survivors arrived at the camp, about 400 prisoners died every day from starvation or disease.

“There is no logical reason to compare the brutal imprisonment, suffering, tortures and executions faced by the heroic Fil-Am POWs/Death March WWII survivors with the victims of your grandstanding,” the group wrote.

On Monday, Cruz met with 96-year-old ACFV spokesman Mr. Celestino Almeda and 93-year-old Major Jesse Baltazar, a Bataan defender and prisoner of war. The senator apologized, explaining that his intentions were good:

CRUZ: I apologize for causing offense. I should not have said what I did. I'll share with you the context of the comment I made because I was not attempting to compare my filibuster to that suffering. It was at the end of what had been nearly 22 hours and I was thanking the floor staff. There are a number of staff on the Senate floor who had to be there the whole night and they didn't have a choice on it. So I actually put together a list of everyone on the floor staff and all of the police officers and all of the pages and everyone who was forced to stay there all night. And it was in the context of thanking them for enduring. And that's when I used the analogy. I was trying to say that they had endured a long period of suffering not of their choosing. But I understand that that comment caused offense and I apologize, that was not my intention to do so. In fact my intention was to thank them for their service.

Top Republicans' growing support for privatization of the Department of Veterans Affairs health care system is frightening some veterans groups.

These veterans advocates acknowledge the urgency of reform at the notoriously backlogged and scandal-ridden VA. But they do not believe private insurance or medical care is capable of accommodating veterans' specific needs, and maintain that a voucher program for purchasing care outside the VA system will inevitably fall short of veterans' expenses.

In September, the American Legion, the Iraq and Afghanistan Veterans of America, the Paralyzed Veterans of America and five other leading national veterans service organizations wrote [an open letter](#) to GOP presidential contender Dr. Ben Carson, [condemning his proposal](#) to replace the VA with personal health savings accounts.

"To suggest that disabled veterans could be sent out into the private economy with a health savings account card overlooks the fact that civilian health care providers have waiting lists of their own, that private practices often limit the number of government plan patients they accept due to low reimbursement rates, and presupposes that civilian doctors have the necessary skill sets and training to meet the unique health needs of military veterans," the groups wrote.

New poll results may give these organizations additional political leverage. Nearly two-thirds of veterans oppose "privatizing VA hospitals and services," according to a [poll released Tuesday](#) by the Vet Voice Foundation. And some 80 percent of the veterans surveyed believe veterans "deserve their health care to be fully paid for, not vouchers which may not cover all the costs."

A plurality of veterans, or 42 percent of those surveyed, agreed with the statement that the VA "needs more doctors," according to the poll, indicating they believe the VA's problems are at least partly due to a personnel shortage.

VET VOICE FOUNDATION

Although Vet Voice is a progressive organization, the poll of 800 veterans was jointly conducted by a Democratic polling firm and a Republican one.

“This poll confirms what nearly every veterans service organization has always said — privatization and voucherization of the VA is a non-starter for veterans,” retired Major General Paul D. Eaton, managing director of the Vet Voice Foundation, said in a statement. “There is a lot of debate about ‘choice’ in veterans care, but when presented with the details of what ‘choice’ means, veterans reject it.”

Vet Voice claims the poll is the first to ask veterans directly about “privatizing” the VA. While Carson’s proposal to effectively disband the VA health system is the most radical of the GOP presidential contenders’ plans, other candidates are not far behind.

Donald Trump has laid out a plan that would have VA medical facilities compete with non-VA care providers. Sen. Marco Rubio (R-Fla.) has close ties to Concerned Veterans for America, a conservative, Koch Brothers-backed group that promotes privatizing the VA.

But perhaps the greatest threat to the traditional VA system remains the VA itself. In July 2014, Congress responded to rising public outcry about wait times for care at VA hospitals, as well as documented cases of deceit and retaliation against whistleblowers by VA officials, by passing bipartisan legislation to reform the agency and provide additional funding.

(Visited 2,516 times, 2,499 visits today)

The Untruth About Donald Trump

<https://youtu.be/Gw8c2Cq-vpg>

The Truth About Ted Cruz

<https://youtu.be/xtL41Pr2d5s>

Ben Carson Blows ABC GOP Debate Entrance, Joined by Donald Trump-

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gFfl74NoDAU>

FULL ABC GOP Debate 2016 in NH (between 3:30 min to 10 min) Ted is caught in a lie – straight up

<https://youtu.be/LVHy-UeIHIs>

Donald Trump Calls Men Who Handled Protester To The Stage in SC (2-16-16)

<https://youtu.be/OYZPKYecRGM>

Ted Cruz Video: "I Want To Rule The World"

<https://youtu.be/vGgkTIF6gtU>

Texas Businessman Calls Out Ted Cruz

<https://youtu.be/IJLiyFgyAXI>

Donald Trump Calls Men Who Handled Protester To The Stage in SC (2-16-16)

<https://youtu.be/OYZPKYecRGM>

Betsy Sharp, Daughter of Woman Whose Farm Donald Trump Saved, Speaks at SC Rally

<https://youtu.be/dRoX9BTu8Ew>

In order to be a LEADER a man must inspire the masses...Ted Cruz is a pathological liar and anti-social misfit that is hated, despised and reviled by member of his OWN party...when the top three newspapers in Texas give their support to Bush and Kasich that tells you what a LOSER Ted Cruz is... this is what Texas is saying about this sicko: SAN ANTONIO EXPRESS While Cruz, too, appeals to conservative Americans who are sick of business as usual, the freshman senator has demonstrated a frightening willingness to push the American economy to the brink of disaster to pursue his ideological agenda — even when he clearly does not have the votes to get his way. The freshman senator’s methodical run for the White House is obviously well-planned, but Cruz’s self-centered approach has thoroughly alienated even his Republican Senate colleagues. And that is obviously a recipe for a weak, unsuccessful administration. He lacks the temperament to be president. –THE HOUSTON CHRONICLE. “The dislike the man engenders is so intense it’s hard to find a historical precedent for it. Not only do his political opponents detest him, but also his fellow Republicans. (Obviously, Will Rogers never met Ted Cruz.) The senator wears his personal and political isolation as another badge of honor, and yet imagine how ineffectual he would be in the White House. Unable to work even with members of his own party, he would make the icy relations between an obstinate Republican-led Congress and an aloof Democratic president look like a Sunday school picnic by comparison.” – DALLAS MORNING NEWS. “That brings us to Cruz. As much as we’d like to see a Texan in the White House, we fear that Cruz’s brand of politics is more about disruption than governing and threatens to take the Republican Party to a dark place. As we’ve written before, continuing obstructionist paths might excite primary voters, but it won’t benefit the nation or the conservative cause.” – the Dallas Morning News

Ted Cruz Video: "I Want To Rule The World"

<https://youtu.be/vGgkTIF6gtU>

Ted Cruz's NeoCon Bonafides

<http://lettersfromthegulag.blogspot.hu/2015/10/ted-cruzs-neocon-bonafides.html>

So Rafael pointing fingers on Trump's choices 20 some years ago! So let's fill you in on Cruz weakness from teenager to NOW!

Ted Cruz Pled Guilty To Possession Of Alcohol As A Teen

The presidential hopeful copped to making 'foolish mistakes.'

Ted Cruz Pled Guilty To Possession Of Alcohol

#TedCruz LOVES HIS BOOZE! A CLOSET DRINKER TODAY!

The Republican presidential candidate, 44, was once ticketed for possession of alcohol as a minor, he confessed on a Texas application for assistant attorney general employment in 2002.

According to documents obtained by BuzzFeed News, Cruz admitted he pled guilty to the crime in 1987, when he was just 16 years old.

The representative to the politician told BuzzFeed News that he was pulled over as a senior in high school, and cops found an unopened case of beer.

“Teenagers often make foolish mistakes, and that certainly applied to me as well,” Cruz said in a statement to the site.

But he didn't seem to learn his lesson following the incident. In 2013, The Boston Globe reported that Cruz drank so much Everclear grain alcohol one night as a student at Harvard Law School, he was too sick to perform in a school play the next day.

“The young are not renowned for their wisdom,” he responded to the newspaper's anecdote. “And that's certainly not a principle from which I was exempt.”

EXPLORE THIS STORY

As RadarOnline.com exclusively reported, Cruz's sister Miriam was arrested for public drunkenness and theft before succumbing to addiction in 2011 at just 49 years old.

SOUNDS LIKE THE CRUZ FAMILY HAVE A ALCOHOL ADDICTION..AMONG OTHER THINGS LIKE STEAL, CHEAT, LIE.....

Ted Cruz Pled Guilty To Possession Of Alcohol As A Teen

The presidential hopeful copped to making 'foolish mistakes.'

<http://radaronline.com/celebrity-news/ted-cruz-pled-guilty-possession-alcohol-teen/>

Trump spox says Trump doesn't blame George W. Bush for 9/11 – THEN BLAMES JEB BUSH!!!

<http://therightscoop.com/trump-spox-says-trump-doesnt-blame-george-w-bush-for-911-then-blames-jeb-bush/>

Pope says Trump 'not Christian,' Trump calls comment 'disgraceful'

<http://www.msn.com/en-us/news/politics/pope-says-trump-not-christian-trump-calls-comment-disgraceful/ar-BBpFhij?ocid=spartanntp>

Finrod is a troll. Look at his history of comments. These are just a few. He does it by calling everyone else an idiot and troll. He has little to argue, just an agitator for the libs (a.k.a. commies):

This guy just called me a troll, but look at his history:

Finrod Felagund > Daniel • 2 hours ago

Right after you tell me how many laws passed by the First Congress have been overturned by the courts.

Reply View in discussion

Finrod Felagund

Finrod Felagund > Daniel • 8 hours ago

Courts love to defer to Congress, and especially since it was the First Congress that chose to define what 'natural-born citizen' means in law, a Congress filled mostly with the people who wrote the Constitution, no court in the land is going to overturn laws dating back to 1790 based on the theory that there was some special meaning of that term in the 1780s.

You think you know so much about the Law and the Constitution, but you overlook that?

Good luck.

1 Reply View in discussion

Discussion on [Young Conservatives](#) • 26 comments

[70% Of Working Women Don't Believe There Is A Gender Pay Gap](#)

Finrod Felagund

Finrod Felagund > drullo • 2 hours ago

At least I'm smart enough not to waste my time posting inane drivel on sites directly opposed to my viewpoint.

Your mommy is calling, it's bedtime for you.

Reply View in discussion

Finrod Felagund

Finrod Felagund > drullo • 7 hours ago

Go die in a fire, idiot leftist troll.

1 Reply View in discussion

Finrod Felagund

Finrod Felagund > drullo • 9 hours ago

Links to leftist sites that lie about Republicans like they breathe. Typical of an idiot leftist troll like you. Especially the 'angry' bit, idiot leftists are always pulling that thinking it actually means something.

Go away, fool.

1 Reply View in discussion

Discussion on [RealClearPolitics](#) • 497 comments

[Tragedy and Choices](#)

Finrod Felagund

Finrod Felagund > mediaaccess • 2 hours ago

I'm not the one that has been banned from posting at certain places, troll.

Reply View in discussion

Finrod Felagund

Finrod Felagund > mediaaccess • 8 hours ago

Go away, troll.

Reply View in discussion

Discussion on Young Conservatives • 31 comments

Ben Carson and Ted Cruz Hold Contentious Closed Door Meeting To Clear Up Iowa Disagreement

Finrod Felagund

Finrod Felagund > Jonathan Cornelison • 2 hours ago

Because you know more about what was going on there than the people who were involved in it.

Since you're a liar, I'm not going to believe anything you say. Shove it.

Reply View in discussion

Finrod Felagund

Finrod Felagund > Jonathan Cornelison • 9 hours ago

A staffer makes a mistake that Cruz has already apologized for multiple times, even though it wasn't his mistake, or the mistake of his campaign manager (who you seem obsessed with).

We get it, you hate Cruz. Now shut up.

1 Reply View in discussion

Discussion on The Other McCain • 332 comments

The #FreeStacy Story: Why Was My @rsmccain Account Suspended?

Finrod Felagund

Finrod Felagund > Wombat_socho • 3 hours ago

Thank you very much for taking out the trash, Wombat.

Reply View in discussion

Finrod Felagund

Finrod Felagund > Lulu • 3 hours ago

It's always been used for porn. Much like the printing press; the first book to be printed was the Bible, the second was porn.

Reply View in discussion

Finrod Felagund

Finrod Felagund > Doug • 3 hours ago

If you click a downarrow or an uparrow by mistake, just click it again to reverse it.

Reply View in discussion

Finrod Felagund

Finrod Felagund > Quartermaster • 3 hours ago

I've read a theory that the Temple should be where the Dome of the Tablets is instead of the Dome of the Rock. The entire Temple could in theory be rebuilt without disturbing the Dome of the Rock save the outermost court, which I believe in the Book of Revelation is said to have been given over to the infidels.

Reply View in discussion

Finrod Felagund

Finrod Felagund > Daniel Freeman • 9 hours ago

Like it or not, the white nationalist/supremacist types who are obsessed with that stuff have taken over the term. Every time I see someone using it, when I look into their posting history I see racist crap, and most of them support Donald Trump.

1 Reply View in discussion

Discussion on Young Conservatives • 355 comments

Cruz Releases Handout Showing Which Democrats Have Received Money from Trump

Finrod Felagund

Finrod Felagund > Brent Fraser • 7 hours ago

You're just a hater, period.

1 Reply View in discussion

Finrod Felagund

Finrod Felagund > Nope • 8 hours ago

Alternet, that loves to lie about Republicans? That's laughable and so are you.

1 Reply View in discussion

Finrod Felagund

Finrod Felagund > Brent Fraser • 8 hours ago

I don't trust anti-Semites like you and I never will.

1 Reply View in discussion

Finrod Felagund

Finrod Felagund > Lisa Chantel Hill Wade • 9 hours ago

You think that's the only slimy thing that Trump has done?

Silly fool.

<http://www.redstate.com/diary/...>

Reply View in discussion

Discussion on Breitbart News Network • 1042 comments

EXCLUSIVE: Twitter Shadowbanning 'Real and Happening Every Day' Says Inside source

Finrod Felagund

Finrod Felagund > Alex Knoxville MacDuff • 8 hours ago

They don't need to silence everyone, they just need to silence enough of us that it frightens everyone else into being silent, sadly.

1 Reply View in discussion

Discussion on National Review Online • 863 comments

Donald Trump: Opponents' Attack Is Coming

Finrod Felagund

Finrod Felagund > Rhino • 8 hours ago

Go die in a fire, idiot @troll .

1 Reply View in discussion

Finrod Felagund

Finrod Felagund > JoeLorenzo • 8 hours ago

Go die in a fire, @troll .

Reply View in discussion

Finrod Felagund

Finrod Felagund > American Tax Payer • 8 hours ago

By their fruits ye shall know them.

1 Reply View in discussion

Finrod Felagund

Finrod Felagund > American Tax Payer • 8 hours ago

Idiot @troll .

KEVIN MCCULLOUGH Why did Ted Cruz give Obama executive action on Iran?Monday, September 14, 2015

<http://www.onenewsnow.com/perspectives/kevin-mccullough/2015/09/14/why-did-ted-cruz-give-obama-executive-action-on-iran>

Top Cruz team member speaks out against his candidate's 'repeated lies' - By RYAN LOVELACE (@LOVELACERYAND) • 2/21/16 12:11 AM

http://www.washingtonexaminer.com/top-cruz-team-member-speaks-out-against-his-candidates-repeated-lies/article/2583790?custom_click=rss

Newt Gingrich had a lot of great things to say about Donald Trump's victory tonight

<http://redstatewatcher.com/article.asp?id=7612>

(I'm not calling you a Ted-bot, but you should read a little before you make such accusations: For-Ted-bots-2016-Feb-18 - pdf.file :
http://www.mediaaccess.hu/userspace/pdf_files/For-Ted-bots-2016-Feb-18.pdf

WATCH TED CRUZ COACH HIS FAMILY THROUGH A CAMPAIGN AD SHOOT
Watch Ted Cruz coach his family through a campaign ad shoot:
https://youtu.be/hO_MkcZh-VY

<https://youtu.be/vGgkTIF6gtU> Ted Cruz Video: "I Want To Rule The World"

The Truth About Ted Cruz <https://youtu.be/xtL41Pr2d5s> The Untruth About Donald Trump <https://youtu.be/Gw8c2Cq-vpg>

Trump Supporter Video - EP 3 "The Real Donald Trump Story"

<https://youtu.be/LmWOOOrwDUug>

ROAR !!!!!!!!

<https://www.facebook.com/418343765032903/photos/a.418370498363563.1073741829.418343765032903/470566699810609/?type=3&fref=nf>

Ted and Heidi Cruz - An Introduction

<http://lettersfromthegulag.blogspot.hu/2015/12/ted-and-heidi-cruz-introduction.html>

Do You Really Know Ted Cruz?

<https://asnowrose.wordpress.com/2016/02/04/do-you-really-know-ted-cruz/>

Except it does look like Cruz is not qualified to be USA pres:

The Truth About Ted Cruz <https://youtu.be/xtL41Pr2d5s>

Do You Really Know Ted Cruz?

<https://asnowrose.wordpress.com/2016/02/04/do-you-really-know-ted-cruz/>

Trump is successful and building things. One reason he is successful is because, like most successful business persons, he keeps his commitment (word) to fulfilling his obligations. It's anyone's guess, but I do realize that after the huge load of abuse I have received by Cruz supporters (who usually call themselves Christians and

constitutionalist conservatives), and the fact that CAIR and England and the POPE all bash Trump, well, it all supports my arguments. Cruz' record is not that of a constitutionalist, nor of a Christian, in my book. But, it's my guess. If he builds the wall, that'll be great. I live in Hungary now because of California's sanctuary city cr*p.

After the back and forth, I can say without a doubt that those who have responded to me here on this page are callously insincere, and are neither acute in Biblical matters, nor Constitutionally adept in legal matters. I can understand that your investment would be at risk if you look at the facts. It's naïve of you to ignore them, however. What I have presented herein are not my opinions, nor are they the opinions of anyone else's. Facts are facts, are indeed a stubborn thing.

Since time and effort are my constraints, I will focus my attention on the constitutional issue, and not the Biblical one. First of all, I am a communications instructor in Hungary, with sufficient knowledge in legal matters, and I teach logical reasoning, but why should reasoning be of importance here?

So, when you call someone a troll who attempts to share information – the sharing of information, by its very definition is an attempt to open and maintain a respectable line of communication that is at least in two-directional – with hardcore evidence, it troubles me that there are so many of you out there with the privilege to vote.

Regardless of these facts, let me explain it in simpler terms for children who play games with words like 'freedom' and 'constitution' that have no bearings if it hurts them personally (i.e., calling someone a troll without having the wisdom to argue a point rather than launch attacks) . It is clear not a one of you have seen or read, or watched not one of the substantive material I have provided to you.

Some of them are longer than others, but some would only take a few moments, though if you're not familiar with the constitution, as your club 'Freedom from the Constitution' would imply, I'm going to show you now why your poster there is in fact off topic, OK? The natural born citizen clause can be found in the Constitution of the United States. One of you, in fact, implied that since it wasn't in the constitution, there is no definition.

Constitution of the United States:

“No Person except a natural born Citizen, or a Citizen of the United States, at the time of the Adoption of this Constitution, shall be eligible to the Office of President; neither shall any Person be eligible to that Office who shall not have attained to the Age of thirty-five Years, and been fourteen Years a Resident within the United States.”

Natural Born Citizen Explained!

<https://youtu.be/h9PxdDvgQks>

We know from many sources what the definition is, 'and everybody knew it'.

..necessary to be born of a father who was a citizen..place of birth is not significant..

very concerned of foreign influence.. did not want loyalty to be shared...David Ramsey Dissertation on Citizenship...as a natural right belongs to none but those who had been born of citizens since the fourth of July 1776 (Cruz' mother's status is unknown, but that doesn't matter).

Laurence Tribe on Ted Cruz, Constitution, citizenship with Canada

<https://youtu.be/tLe9Apcho7Q>

Laurence Tribe was Cruz' Harvard Law Professor:

"If Trump wins the Iowa caucuses, it will be because because of something DT saw on this program.. Trump's professor describes the meaning of natural born citizen as it appears in the constitution for the qualification for the presidency..without getting a definitive ruling from the Supreme Court, it's just wrong to say, as Senator Cruz has tried to say that it's a settled matter. It isn't ..."

Then we could also go on to talk about Ted's fake filibuster, and the fact that 'Nobody likes him in the Senate', and his dismal record between his oration and voting record.. and the fact – not my opinion.. that he is NOT a Constitutional Conservative. He may be best remembered as an establishment conservative..

Well, watch, read, study and learn.

The poster one of you posted to say proves Cruz is qualified is also a false, but I have not the time to rip into it. It's simply wrong.

The Constitutional Freedom Party: Constitutional Freedom Party's Ratings for the 2016...

constfreparty.blogspot.com

Riza A. Barnachea Alann

7 hrs ·

#TedCruz keep spamming me for donations ..all for the sake of himself! Trump never asked me a dime, running for the sake of America. Stop this delusional madness. You Cruzers all say, we respect the Constitution!!! Then let me ask you a simple question! Why is that a Canadian claiming to be Constitutionalist is disobeying the Framers of the Constitution requirements for eligibility to become a POTUS???! I am a student of the Constitution and I therefore conclude, Cruzers are Constitutionally illiterate!!! --- Riza A. Barnachea Alann

11 hrs ·

My message to #MarcoRubio:

Why don't we talk about your eligibility to become a POTUS? And don't even use the Naturalization Act 1790 coauthored by two liberal Harvard hacks straight from Obama play book to defend your fraudulent act. It's no longer operative. Epic fail!

Your constant lying is the reason why the mass are sick of all of you " do nothing" congress, and are losing this battle miserably!! We're sick of all of you establishment whores!!!

Trump supporters are Politically Savvy, Constutionally Savvy, Well Bible Versed, Most Common Sense, Gifted with Undeniable Wisdom...and the Most Intelligent Voters!!!

DEAL WITH IT, YOU'RE INELIGIBLE ANCHOR BABY AND LOSING BIG!!!!...TRUMP IS WINNING!!!!

Go snork some more cocaine, keep those sweating going... Your BRAND NAME!!! LMAO!!!!

After the back and forth, I can say without a doubt that those who have responded to me here on this page are callously insincere, and are neither acute in Biblical matters, nor

Constitutionally adept in legal matters. I can understand that your investment would be at risk if you look at the facts. It's naïve of you to ignore them, however. What I have presented herein are not my opinions, nor are they the opinions of anyone else's. Facts are facts, are indeed a stubborn thing.

Since time and effort are my constraints, I will focus my attention on the constitutional issue, and not the Biblical one. First of all, I am a communications instructor in Hungary, with sufficient knowledge in legal matters, and I teach logical reasoning, but why should reasoning be of importance here?

So, when you call someone a troll who attempts to share information – the sharing of information, by its very definition is an attempt to open and maintain a respectable line of communication that is at least in two.directional – with hardcore evidence, it troubles me that there are so many of you out there with the privilege to vote.

Regardless of these facts, let me explain it in simpler terms for children who play games with words like 'freedom' and 'constitution' that have no bearings if it hurts them personally (i.e., calling someone a troll without having the wisdom to argue a point rather than launch attacks) . It is clear not a one of you have seen or read, or watched not one of the substantive material I have provided to you.

Some of them are longer than others, but some would only take a few moments, though if you're not familiar with the constitution, as your club 'Freedom from the Constitution' would imply, I'm going to show you now why your poster there is in fact off topic, OK? The natural born citizen clause can be found in the Constitution of the United States. One of you, in fact, implied that since it wasn't in the constitution, there is no definition.

Constitution of the United States:

“No Person except a natural born Citizen, or a Citizen of the United States, at the time of the Adoption of this Constitution, shall be eligible to the Office of President; neither shall any Person be eligible to that Office who shall not have attained to the Age of thirty-five Years, and been fourteen Years a Resident within the United States.”

Natural Born Citizen Explained!

<https://youtu.be/h9PxdDvgQks>

But good luck with your troll fest (as most of you here are trolls), and your snark pompous selves. The Don (or Donald) will win. In fact, he is the only one in the top tier who is qualified.. 'cause Rubio 'aint either.

https://www.facebook.com/groups/CFP4US/permalink/875868172542893/?comment_id=876587635804280&reply_comment_id=877070309089346¬if t=group comment mention

Cruz Campaign Apologizes to Marco Rubio for Spreading False Bible Story

<http://www.breitbart.com/big-government/2016/02/22/cruz-campaign-apologizes-to-marco-rubio-for-spreading-false-bible-story/>

Cruz and Rubio shaking hands behind Trump's back – picture is worth a thousand words:

http://s.wsj.net/public/resources/images/BN-MU289_GOPDEB_G_20160225222816.jpg

Natural Born Citizen Explained!

<https://youtu.be/h9PxdDvgQks>

Laurence Tribe on Ted Cruz, Constitution, citizenship with Canada

<https://youtu.be/tLe9Apcho7Q>

Full Show - Why Donald Trump Is An American Hero - 02/18/2016

<https://youtu.be/J74vOSK2Btw>

What Ted Cruz said behind closed doors A secret tape from a New York fundraiser could mean trouble for a candidate selling authenticity. By Mike Allen 12/23/15 05:13 AM EST pdated 12/22/15 10:59 PM EST

<http://www.politico.com/story/2015/12/ted-cruz-gay-marriage-secret-audio-217090>

Do you believe in the constitution? In the Bible? Ted Cruz is not a constitutionalist, and therefore cannot be a constitutional conservative. He is an establishment conservative. Neither he nor Rubio are qualified candidates:

Natural Born Citizen Explained!

<https://youtu.be/h9PxdDvgQks>

Laurence Tribe (Cruz' Law Professor at Harvard) on Ted Cruz, Constitution, citizenship with Canada

<https://youtu.be/tLe9Apcho7Q>

Ted and Heidi Cruz - An Introduction

<http://lettersfromthegulag.blogspot.hu/2015/12/ted-and-heidi-cruz-introduction.html>

Do You Really Know Ted Cruz?

<https://asnowrose.wordpress.com/2016/02/04/do-you-really-know-ted-cruz/>

For-Ted-bots-2016-Feb-25 - pdf.file

http://www.mediaaccess.hu/userspace/pdf_files/For-Ted-bots-2016-Feb-25.pdf

Full Show - Why Donald Trump Is An American Hero - 02/18/2016

<https://youtu.be/J74vOSK2Btw> (1:34 Hungarian national bank stocks guns, bullets, citing terrorism risk and collapse risk)

The Truth About Ted Cruz

<https://youtu.be/xtL41Pr2d5s>

The Untruth About Donald Trump

<https://youtu.be/Gw8c2Cq-vpg>

Dear Reince, Donald Trump Doesn't Need The GOP

<http://detonite.com/dear-reince-donald-trump-doesnt-need-the-gop/>

WATCH TED CRUZ COACH HIS FAMILY THROUGH A CAMPAIGN AD SHOOT

https://youtu.be/hO_MkcZh-VY

Ted Cruz Visits Texas Border To Welcome Illegal Alien Families...

<http://theconservativetreehouse.com/2016/01/17/ted-cruz-visits-texas-border-to-welcome-illegal-alien-families/>

<http://conservatives4palin.com/2016/02/donald-trump-at-regent-university.html>

Priebus: New GOP 'a party for everyone' (So now that Trump is so inclusive, but not their chosen one, the slogan is, 'the party for everyone, except Trump')

updated Monday, January 28, 2013 - 7:21pm

<http://onlineathens.com/opinion/2013-01-28/priebus-new-gop-party-everyone>

Was all a lie: It was the establishments/Rubio's con-job. Duke didn't even endorse Trump

http://redstatewatcher.com/article.asp?id=8572&fb_comment_id=fb_c_967670803268085_967738503261315_967738503261315#f214fd8404

VIDEO: 35,000 Trump fans show up in Madison, Alabama for a Trump rally, where two of the heroes of Benghazi endorse Donald Trump

<http://powderedwigsociety.com/madison-alabama-trump-rally/#>

<https://youtu.be/i9Z5fCwi6Oc>

Cruz and Rubio shaking hands behind Trump's back – picture is worth a thousand words:

http://s.wsj.net/public/resources/images/BN-MU289_GOPDEB_G_20160225222816.jpg

TEXE MARRS -Ted Cruz—Deceiver of Zion, Father of North American Union - May 9, 2015

<https://youtu.be/zE1Mq3IJmMo?list=PL-ZaVrUySBj3g1EYdbQUAZtBep4I7IGAaj>

A must see: #AlwaysTrump #NeverCruz #NeverRubio (A Texan stating why she voted the way she did)

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WFwsiDovMm4>

Huckabee on the possibility of a brokered GOP convention - Mar. 02, 2016 - 5:53 - Former presidential candidate reacts to Trump's campaign rhetoric

<http://video.foxnews.com/v/4782807715001/huckabee-on-the-possibility-of-a-brokered-gop-convention/?intcmp=obinsite#sp=show-clips>

Ted Cruz Scores Weakest Home State Primary Win in GOP Party History - Jim Hoft Mar 2nd, 2016 1:11 pm 158 Comments

<http://www.thegatewaypundit.com/2016/03/336391/>

FREAKING BLINKY EYED MITT ROMNEY HAS BEEN UNEARTHED AFTER HIDING FROM OBAMA FOR 4 YEARS TO TRY AND UNSEAT DONALD TRUMP AS FRONT RUNNER.

<https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=552010454980391&set=a.307487259432713.1073741828.100005143247397&type=3&theater>

In #TedCruz own words!!

CRUZ'S OWN WORD HE IS NOT ELIGIBLE TO BE PRESIDENT DEC 17 2013 :

Ted Cruz Said, Obama Not Eligible To Be President; Citizen Parents? “ I am honored that so many Americans want me to run for the office of President. However, my moral convictions require that I state clearly for the record that I am not eligible for the office of president or vice president according to Article II – Section I – Clause V of the U.S. Constitution, which requires that only a Natural Born Citizen of the United States, born of an American Citizen Father, seek or hold these offices. As I was born the son of a Cuban Citizen living in Canada at the time, I am not a Natural Born Citizen of the United States. On this Constitutional ground, I hereby state that Barack Hussein Obama, the son of a Kenyan Citizen Father, is also not a Natural Born Citizen of the United States...I hereby call for the immediate investigation and resignation of Barack Hussein Obama and all who were involved in the greatest fraud ever perpetrated on the world, as well as all who have engaged in the greatest political cover-up in the history of politics.” In that conversation, he asked Ted – “what is your understanding of the term Natural Born Citizen?” – to which Ted answered, “someone born on soil to Citizen parents...”“Is Barack Obama a Natural Born Citizen of the United States? – to which Ted correctly answered, “NO!” Then the most important question was asked... “If we get you elected to the Senate, will you do something about our illegal occupant of the White House?” – to which Ted answered... “I think repealing ObamaCare is more important...” The witness is willing to go on record if need be. Read more at <http://www.birtherreport.com/>

Riza A. Barnachea Alann Huh??. Did you even read the post! Please don't use the Naturalization Act 1790 to refute Cruz ineligibility, coauthored by two liberal Harvard hacks straight from Obama play book! No longer operative! Epic fail! In case you Cruzers didn't know. Cruz is facing court in 6 States for eligibility... By then, he'll be out

of the race!.... BTW, please study the U S. Constitution! I'm a student of the Constitution and it's critical to study and understand so we don't put another ineligible president at the WH! Respect the framers of the Constitution! That's what Conservative Christian stands for among other principles!!

Priebus: New GOP 'a party for everyone' - updated Monday, January 28, 2013 - 7:21pm
(Now that Trump is being the party of everyone, the GOP means everyone except for Trump because he isn't bought and sold)

<http://onlineathens.com/opinion/2013-01-28/priebus-new-gop-party-everyone>

One America News Network did a Fact Check on @MittRomney 's accusation against @realDonaldTrump records, MORMON CULT LEADER ROMNEY LIED!!

Beth Still on the Romney vs Trump situation. In 2012 election, Donald Trump was thinking about running for President, but decided to endorsed Mitt Romney instead when he entered the race. Today, Romney stuck a knife into Trump's back by calling attention to his unreleased tax returns.

Still Report #670 - Beth on Romney vs Trump

<https://youtu.be/qpTI84VuRUc>

BREAKING NEWS! Please read and share and expose the plan!

DID YOU KNOW... that SHITT Romney and TURNCOAT Ryan filed FEC paperwork on January 30, 2016 to run for President and Vice President.

The paperwork in a .PDF file attached to the share at the first comment below. PLEASE SHARE - the .PDF evidence at the first comment.

<http://docquery.fec.gov/pdf/662/201601309004959662/201601309004959662.pdf>

When Ted Cruz Wanted to Be Part of the Establishment

<http://www.politico.com/magazine/story/2016/01/ted-cruz-2016-establishment-george-bush-213561#ixzz41x5ckNFs>

Ted Cruz Video: "I Want To Rule The World"

<https://youtu.be/vGgkTIF6gtU>

A blogger wrote me, but his links don't work (He sent me the correct link):

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/United_States_nationality_law#Birth_abroad_to_one_United_States_citizen

<http://www.mcclatchydc.com/news/politics-government/election/article54991080.html>

Wow! I would like to add this:

I happened to find this yesterday while looking for another reference. I am not so sure Cruz is even a US citizen let alone a natural born citizen, which would mean he is illegally a Senator. If I can dig this up, Hillary's team will have a field day. Cruz MUST release his Consular documents for immediate inspection by the FEC!!!

United States nationality law

Foreign born Children born to one US citizen parent:

For persons born between December 24, 1952 and November 14, 1986, A PERSON IS A US CITIZEN IF ALL OF THE FOLLOWING ARE TRUE:

*The person's parents were married at the time of birth.

*One of the person's parents was a U.S. citizen when the person was born.

*The citizen parent lived at least ten years in the United States before the child's birth.
*****(Note: Cruz's mother was previously married and lived in England from 1960-1966 or later. Cruz's parents marry in 1969, Cruz was born in 1970 in Canada Cruz's mother did NOT LIVE IN THE US TEN YEARS BEFORE HIS BIRTH)*****

*A minimum of 5 of these 10 years in the United States were after the citizen parent's 14th birthday.

Romney & Rubio Attack Trump University, But Both Are Tied to Predatory Colleges -
POSTED AT 9:30 AM BY DAVID HALPERIN

<http://www.republicreport.org/>

Full Speech: Donald Trump Responds to Mitt Romney in Portland, ME (3-3-16)

<https://youtu.be/Lybr4EPkZRE>

Greed and Debt: The True Story of Mitt Romney and Bain Capital - BY MATT TAIBBI |
August 29, 2012

<http://www.rollingstone.com/politics/news/greed-and-debt-the-true-story-of-mitt-romney-and-bain-capital-20120829>

In #TedCruz own words!!

CRUZ'S OWN WORD HE IS NOT ELIGIBLE TO BE PRESIDENT DEC 17 2013 :

Ted Cruz Said, Obama Not Eligible To Be President; Citizen Parents? “ I am honored that so many Americans want me to run for the office of President. However, my moral convictions require that I state clearly for the record that I am not eligible for the office of president or vice president according to Article II – Section I – Clause V of the U.S. Constitution, which requires that only a Natural Born Citizen of the United States, born of an American Citizen Father, seek or hold these offices. As I was born the son of a Cuban Citizen living in Canada at the time, I am not a Natural Born Citizen of the United States. On this Constitutional ground, I hereby state that Barack Hussein Obama, the son of a Kenyan Citizen Father, is also not a Natural Born Citizen of the United States...I hereby call for the immediate investigation and resignation of Barack Hussein Obama and all who were involved in the greatest fraud ever perpetrated on the world, as well as all who have engaged in the greatest political cover-up in the history of politics.” In that conversation, he asked Ted – “what is your understanding of the term Natural Born Citizen?” – to which Ted answered, “someone born on soil to Citizen parents...” “Is Barack Obama a Natural Born Citizen of the United States? – to which Ted correctly answered, “NO!” Then the most important question was asked... “If we get you elected to the Senate, will you do something about our illegal occupant of the White House?” – to which Ted answered.... “I think repealing ObamaCare is more important...” The witness is willing to go on record if need be. Read more at

<http://www.newswithviews.com/JBWilliams/williams268.htm>

<http://www.birtherreport.com/>

Riza A. Barnachea Alann Huh??. Did you even read the post! Please don't use the Naturalization Act 1790 to refute Cruz ineligibility, coauthored by two liberal Harvard hacks straight from Obama play book! No longer operative! Epic fail! In case you Cruzers didn't know. Cruz is facing court in 6 States for eligibility... By then, he'll be out of the race!.... BTW, please study the U S. Constitution! I'm a student of the Constitution and it's critical to study and understand so we don't put another ineligible president at the WH! Respect the framers of the Constitution! That's what Conservative Christian stands for among other principles!!

Priebus: New GOP 'a party for everyone' - updated Monday, January 28, 2013 - 7:21pm
(Now that Trump is being the party of everyone, the GOP means everyone except for Trump because he isn't bought and sold)

<http://onlineathens.com/opinion/2013-01-28/priebus-new-gop-party-everyone>

A blogger wrote me, but his links don't work (He sent me the correct link):

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/United_States_nationality_law#Birth_abroad_to_one_United_States_citizen

<http://www.mcclatchydc.com/news/politics-government/election/article54991080.html>

Wow! I would like to add this:

I happened to find this yesterday while looking for another reference. I am not so sure Cruz is even a US citizen let alone a natural born citizen, which would mean he is illegally a Senator. If I can dig this up, Hillary's team will have a field day. Cruz MUST release his Consular documents for immediate inspection by the FEC!!!

United States nationality law

Foreign born Children born to one US citizen parent:

For persons born between December 24, 1952 and November 14, 1986, A PERSON IS A US CITIZEN IF ALL OF THE FOLLOWING ARE TRUE:

*The person's parents were married at the time of birth.

*One of the person's parents was a U.S. citizen when the person was born.

*The citizen parent lived at least ten years in the United States before the child's birth.
*****(Note: Cruz's mother was previously married and lived in England from 1960-1966 or later. Cruz's parents marry in 1969, Cruz was born in 1970 in Canada Cruz's mother did NOT LIVE IN THE US TEN YEARS BEFORE HIS BIRTH)*****

*A minimum of 5 of these 10 years in the United States were after the citizen parent's 14th birthday.

Do you believe in the constitution? In the Bible? Ted Cruz is not a constitutionalist, and therefore cannot be a constitutional conservative. He is an establishment conservative. Neither he nor Rubio are qualified candidates:

Natural Born Citizen Explained!

<https://youtu.be/h9PxdDvgQks>

Laurence Tribe (Cruz' Law Professor at Harvard) on Ted Cruz, Constitution, citizenship with Canada

<https://youtu.be/tLe9Apcho7Q>

When Ted Cruz Wanted to Be Part of the Establishment

<http://www.politico.com/magazine/story/2016/01/ted-cruz-2016-establishment-george-bush-213561#ixzz41x5ckNFs>

For-Ted-bots-2016-Feb-25 - pdf.file

http://www.mediaaccess.hu/userspace/pdf_files/For-Ted-bots-2016-Feb-25.pdf

A must see: #AlwaysTrump #NeverCruz #NeverRubio (A Texan stating why she voted the way she did)

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WFwsiDovMm4>

Still Report #670 - Beth on Romney vs Trump

<https://youtu.be/qpTI84VuRUc>

The Truth About Ted Cruz

<https://youtu.be/xtL41Pr2d5s>

The Untruth About Donald Trump

<https://youtu.be/Gw8c2Cq-vpg>

When Ted Cruz Wanted to Be Part of the Establishment

<http://www.politico.com/magazine/story/2016/01/ted-cruz-2016-establishment-george-bush-213561#ixzz41x5ckNFs>

WATCH TED CRUZ COACH HIS FAMILY THROUGH A CAMPAIGN AD SHOOT

https://youtu.be/hO_MkcZh-VY

June

30, 2015

To all the Ted-Bots (and the progressives who pretend to be something else):

To set the record straight, I'm not saying that I wouldn't vote for Ted should he be elected, but as any evaluator of a topic, we need to look at the hardcore facts. Only recently have I started to collect more data on the Ted-bot syndrome. Facts and silly Obama-Love-Saint like comments for Cruz. Some of you got tingles where tingles aren't warranted. WAKE UP, man!

Doesn't seem like many RS viewers are much interested in Debate. These are my comments concerning what I've already stated – there's more about Ted you should know, but you'll have to do that research yourself, if some of it magically pops up again, I'll post it. I've also posted some of the silly love festivities – the tingle-up-your-leg comments below. Sorry for redundancy, but I'm trying to move on to more important things.

<http://edition.cnn.com/2013/09/25/politics/shutdown-showdown/>

<http://therightscoop.com/ted-cruz-explains-why-he-supported-fast-track-trade-bill-tpa/>

Just a quick summary. Sorry guys, I don't have time to

go digging for all of Ted's blunders, but there are more. I try to put many things on my website, but none of my readers (students of English as a second language) would be able to follow any of it or be interested in it. I'll correct one of my statements that I wouldn't vote for Ted – I will vote for him if I have to, but you all put these people on a pedestal like you are waiting for a savior like Jesus or Moses (Moses – just read some interesting stuff about this guy). You guys seem to be Ted droids, and I'm saying STOP already, he's made some serious blunders. I don't know who I'll vote for, but I will be repulsed for any candidate who supported Obama in any way – even if they said “He's a nice guy” (Trump and others). I have very few opinions, I base my arguments on facts, but I did mis-hear or mis-read a couple things lately. Maybe 'cause I'm just too shocked at the nonsense. Nevertheless, my underlying facts stand – including those of Reagan. We can say that Obama is in fact the result of Reagan because Reagan too took his eyes off the ball – not just him, he was only a part of the procession. And to show you those facts – not my opinion – would take far more time than I have. Good day!

<http://edition.cnn.com/2013/09/25/politics/shutdown-showdown/>

(Video) Ted Cruz explains why he supported FAST TRACK trade bill (TPA): ‘We don't know what's in it'. The name is FAST TRACK! Yea, without this we can't negotiate an agreement? I don't trust Obama at all – If we want the next president to have Fast Track authority – sounds like he's

wearing Obama's dress and Pelosi's earrings. ..TPA is 6 or 700 pages, now I'm not going to represent to you that I've studied every paragraph closely (so if he or his staff or his lawyers won't do it, how is he gonna expect us to??). And

on reading it it was a relatively (keywords here – I haven't read it thoroughly, and 'relatively'. I did not have weeks to study it (But I still want Fast Track to be Fast Tracked!). Unfortunately, I think the admin to keep the text secret (so what's unusual about that? And people are saying it's an open door to climate change initiatives and

more immigration run a muck). Given the abuse of power from this admin only encourages people to say, what horrible

things must be in there if they're hiding the text (Yes, let's hear them. Well, you can hear them from Mark Levin (who I normally support, though his Rand Paul interviews have been pathetic)). Now let me give you two bits of good news, no agreement can be voted on until the text has been public for 60 days (is that Ted in Pelosi's dress and with O's earrings, or vice versa??). Which means everyone will get the opportunity to read for two months the text of TPP to look at it, if there's some provision buried in paragraph 5032 (paragraph, not page, - my bad - but, sorry Ted in Obama dress, I don't have time for that, but there are experts who I do admire who have read it and who have said that that is a VERY VERY bad idea) - that I missed on a quick read, in 60 days (which experts who I respect, and who know procedure say it will be too late), will have time to study it (like ObamaCare, and on and on.. and assess is this beneficial or not (For you Reaganites, wasn't Reagan the one who coined the phrase "Just say NO"?? Ok, I've made an assessment (based on there is not one iota of a thing

that Obama has done that has been in the USA's best interest, so why start now?? By the way, how do these massive bills (=regulations get printed out so quickly - bet if you all studied Soros and the like, we could find more answers to those questions), others have to, and we say NO, and .. I think Mr. o should make it public right now. Cool, and a big applause for the Ted-droids.

<http://therightscoop.com/ted-cruz-goes-rounds-with-katie-couric/>