

Islam: What the West Needs to Know

(Tony Blair): I wish to say finally, as I have said many times before, that this is not a war with Islam. It angers me, as it angers the vast majority of Muslims, to hear Bin Laden and his associates described as Islamic terrorists. They are terrorists, pure and simple. Islam is a peaceful and tolerant religion, and the acts of these people are wholly contrary to the teachings of the Koran.

(George Bush): I also want to speak tonight directly to Muslims throughout the world. We respect your faith. It's practiced freely by millions of Americans and by millions more in countries that America counts as friends. Its teachings are good and peaceful, and those who commit evil in the name of Allah, blaspheme the name of Allah. The terrorists

(Bill Clinton): I want you to understand, I want the world to understand, that our actions today were not aimed against Islam. The faith of hundreds of millions of good, peace-loving people, all around the world, including the United States, no religion condones the murder of innocent men, women, and children. But our actions were aimed at fanatics and killers who wrap murder in the cloak of righteousness, and in so doing, profane the great religion, in whose name they claim to act.

My name is Kenneth Bigley from Liverpool in the Bolton District. I'm here in Iraq, and this is possibly my last chance to speak to you – those who are listening. I need you to be compassionate as you always said you were, and help me. I don't want to die in Iraq.

ISLAM: WHAT THE WEST NEEDS TO KNOW

The tendency of western political leaders to deny the connection between orthodox Islamic mainstream and terrorist violence is replicated in the universities, and the media, wherever you look, both in Western Europe, and in North America. The members of the elite class have this tendency to proclaim Islam is some mysterious ..., authentic Islam to be peaceful and to be tolerant and those Muslims prone to violence claim to be non-representative fringe. Well, I would really appreciate [it] if people who make such claims could then explain the continuity of violence from the earliest day of Islam, from the earliest days of the Prophet, and his immediate successes throughout the 13 centuries of recorded history.

The real burning question in the world today is, "Does Islam, and Islamic civilization, actually sanction the violence that we're seeing being perpetrated in its name around the world?" And to that, we have to answer, if we're going to be honest about it, an unqualified **YES**. The Islamic sources, the Islamic text, starting with the Koran, but not limited to the Koran, the Islamic text including the [Hadiths](#), Islamic tradition, Islamic theology, Islamic law, the traditions of the interpretation of the Koran throughout history, and Islamic history itself all bear witness to the fact that Islam has a developed doctrine in law that mandates violence against non-believers.

The origins, of course, in the Muslim desire [is] to impose all over the world the only religion, the only just religion, which is Islam; and the suppression of all other religions in order to establish the rule of Allah all over the whole earth. This is a religious duty which binds the whole community, and which the

Muslim community is obliged to impose, because they are obliged to obey the order of Allah, and this is the desire of Allah, as expressed in the Koranic revelation.

I believe that those terrorists that want to do harm to others are applying the true Islam that was practiced by Mohammed and his followers in the early stage of Islam.

Part 1 of 6

“There is no God but Allah and Muhammad is his Prophet.”

In Islamic theology, the Prophet Muhammad is considered [al-insan al-kamil](#), which is the “perfect man”. He is the model “[par excellence](#)” to be imitated. He is the person that the more a Muslim is like him, the better off he is. So, the Prophet Muhammad is revered today in the Islamic world as the primary model of human behavior.

Well, Muhammad, the Prophet of Islam wiped out all the Jews from Saudi Arabia. There were three tribes: [Banu Nadir](#), [Banu Qainuqa](#), and [Banu Qurayza](#). We were proudly studying this in school, as Muhammad, the Prophet of Islam ordered [the beheading of the Jews](#) of [Banu Qainuqa](#), and the women being taken as concubines. As soon as a child had pubic hair, he was beheaded. So the Jewish population was either extradited, or beheaded. The story of Rabbi Canina, is a well known documented history in Islam. Rabbi Cannina was tortured by the order of the Prophet of Islam himself. His eyes were put out, he was burned, in order to confess where the Jewish tribes were hiding their goods, their gold, and their silver, and all of these kinds of things. And this is right from the Hadith. This inspired us as Palestinians, inspired us on fighting Jihad against the Jews in Palestine.

08:17

Authoritative Islamic History – *The Life of Muhammad* / Sirat Rasul Allah, by Muhammad bin Ishaq, edited by Abdul Malik bin Hisham (d 840 AD), Translated by Professor Alfred Guillaume (1955).

“Then the Qurayza tribe surrendered, and the Apostle confined them in Medina.... Then the Apostle went out to the market of Medina and dug trenches in it. Then he sent for them and struck off their heads in those trenches as they were brought out to him in batches. There were 600 or 700 in all, though some put the figure as high as 800 or 900. “

Another example, but maybe even more chilling, of the [deleterious](#) influence that Muhammad’s example has upon the Islamic world was exemplified recently by an Egyptian leader of a radical Muslim party, who wrote just recently that he couldn’t believe that the beheadings in Iraq were being protested by Muslims. Weren’t they aware that the Prophet Muhammad himself beheaded between 600 and 900 men personally? Members of the Jewish Qurayza tribe in Arabia after he had defeated them. Didn’t they realize that if the Prophet did it, then this was the proper way to behave? And so the Mudzsahed in Iraq who were beheading people are simply obeying the example of the Prophet. Now we can see then that since the Prophet Muhammad himself participated in many battles and raids, and did indeed perpetrate these beheadings, he ordered the assassination of several of his political opponents, and he behaved in

general like a typical 7th century warlord, the problem is that when this is transferred to 21st century behavior, 21st century context of behavior, then what you get are terrorists.

The Koran occupies a place that has no parallel in western civilization. The Koran is considered by Muslims and by traditional Islamic theology to be dictated word for word by God himself, by Allah himself, through the angel Gabrielle to the Prophet Muhammad. As a result, every word of it is the word of God himself. Every word of the Koran, unless it is cancelled by another section of the Koran itself is valid for all time and cannot be questioned, cannot be reformed, cannot be changed within an Islamic context. This means that moderate Muslims, peaceful Muslims, if they are sincere, have to reject entirely, Koranic literalism, but to do so puts them outside the sphere of anything that has been considered Orthodox Islam throughout history because to do so is to reject the very basic premise of Islam, that this is a book that is dictated by God, and is a perfect copy of a perfect book, the "[maktab](#)", the mother of the book, that has existed forever with Allah in heaven.

The Nobel Koran – Translated with Parenthetical Notes by Dr. Muhammad Taqi-ud-Din Al-Hilali and Dr. Muhammad Muhsin Khan

Sura 98;6

“Verily, those who disbelieve (in the religion of Islam, the Koran and Prophet Muhammad) from among the People of the Scripture (Jews and Christians) and Al-Mushrikun will abide in the Fire of Hell. They are the worst of creatures.”

So, the Koran is simply an excerpt of direct commandments, “or else” narratives, descriptions, sometimes very distorted descriptions of Judaism and Christianity. Because of the [normative](#) nature of those commandments, the second important body for Islamic [jurisprudence](#) and Islamic [polity](#) is the tradition of the Prophet, the Hadith.

Now the Hadith are absolutely necessary to make any sense of the Koran because Allah addresses Muhammad in the Koran, and they talk about incidents in Mohammad’s life, but they don’t fill in the narrative details, so, you have to go to the Hadith, the traditions of the Prophet Muhammad in order to understand what’s being said in the Koran and why. The Hadith are many, many volumes of traditions of the Prophet. Various Muslim scholars, beginning in the 8th century, which is some considerable time after the life of the Prophet who died in 632, they started to collect these traditions, and to try through various means to [winnow out](#) the authentic ones from the inauthentic. From an Islamic standpoint, if something Muhammad said or did is recorded in one of those books, then it has authority second only to the Koran, and in those books, there is a great deal that illuminates what the Koran says, and how it is applicable to Muslims in the present.

Authoritative Traditions of the Prophet Muhammad – *The Hadiths of Sahih Al-Bukhari* – Translated with Parenthetical Notes by Dr. Muhammad Muhsin Khan, vol 4, Bk 52, Hadith 53:

The Prophet said, “Nobody who dies and finds good from Allah (in the Hereafter) would wish to come back to this world even if he were given the whole world and whatever is

in it ... except the martyr who, on seeing the superiority of martyrdom, would like to come back to the world and get killed again (in Allah's Cause)."

The Prophet said, "A single endeavor (of fighting) in Allah's Cause in the afternoon or in the forenoon is better than all the world and whatever is in it."

Since there is no sense of natural morality in Islam, you have to go into either the Koran, or the Hadith to find out what is allowed and what is not allowed.

15:34

And in those books we have very clear instructions from the Prophet Muhammad, that it is the responsibility of Muslims to meet the non-believers on the battle field, to invite them to either accept Islam, or to accept second class [demi-status](#), demi-status in the Islamic state, and if they refuse both of those, then to wage war against them.

The Noble Koran – 9:29

"Fight against those who believe not in Allah, nor in the Last Day, nor forbid that which has been forbidden by Allah and His Messenger and fight against those who acknowledge not the religion of truth (i.e., Islam) among the People of the Scripture (Jews and Christians), until they pay the [Jizyah](#) (the all tax) with willing submission and feel themselves subdued. "

The Koran is broken down into two sections. One is called "'maquia", which means what was inspired to Muhammad the Prophet of Islam in Mecca, and one is called "medenia", or what was inspired to the Prophet in Medina or (??). In Mecca you find much of the peaceful verses. Mohammad used to live with the Jewish communities and Christian communities in peace and harmony, so there were many verses in the Koran that even the Muslims used to worship in the direction of prayer towards Jerusalem. They saw many elements of a unity between the Jewish and the Christian and the Muslim faith.

There are indeed some verses in the Koran that could be called peaceful and tolerant, notably the injunction against the [compulsion](#) in religion. Those verses almost invariably date back to the beginnings of Muhammad's prophetic career in his native city of Mecca where he was powerless, where he was only beginning to attract followers.

17:33

Only a few relatives and friends accepted the religion at that time, and he had many falls, so the revelations of that time were very peaceful.

Well, it all changes with the establishment of Muhammad's theocratic statehood in the city of Medina. He becomes a warlord, he becomes the head of a totalitarian state, he becomes very rich, very powerful, and very intolerant. And then many of these early verses in fact get abrogated.

In Sura 2, verse 106 of the Koran, it says, or Allah says, I should say, that if we abrogate, we being Allah, abrogate a verse, then we'll give you one that's better.

The Noble Koran – 2:106

“Whatever a verse (revelation) do We abrogate or cause to be forgotten. We bring a better one or similar to it. Know you not that Allah is able to do all things?”

This is the basis, the foundation of the Koranic doctrine of [Nahskh](#), which is abrogation, and it is the idea that when there are verses that are contradictory or appear to be contradictory in the Koran, the one that is revealed later chronologically is better, as Allah has promised, and cancels the earlier one.

Now the violence started. Now you had to weigh between peaceful verses and non-peaceful verses, so the [edict](#) was that these were made null and void.

It is indeed a very curious concept of a non-Muslim to accept the notion that God may change his mind about a topic, and may issue one [injunction](#) in A.D. 614, and then a very different one in A.D. 627 (*The Nobel Koran – 2:256*: “There is no compulsion (i.e., coercion) in religion.” *The Nobel Koran – 9:5*: Kill the unbelievers wherever you find them. ... But if they repent and accept Islam ... then leave their way free.”) But this is indeed what has happened in Islam.

It's very important to understand that the Koran is not arranged chronologically, it's arranged, simply arranged on the basis of the longest chapter to the shortest.

And so you will find in the book itself some of these more tolerant verses at a later point in the book than the very intolerant ones advocating violence and subjugation of infidels, but that doesn't mean they came into being later on, quite the contrary. If there is ever a contradiction between two injunctions, the ones that came later on in Medina are the ones that retain their validity, and the early ones from Mecca have been abrogated.

The peaceful verses became mensuka, which means null and void, with verses like verses of the sword.

Traditional Islamic theology has it that the 9th chapter of the Koran, sura 9, is the last revealed in the career of the Prophet, and it is the only one that doesn't begin with “ ” (In the name of Allah, the compassionate, the merciful). Some have said that that's because there's no compassion or mercy in this particular chapter, and that it is the Koran's last word on Jihad and in particular on how Muslims should behave toward non-believers. In it is the celebrated verse of the sword.

Now what is the verse of the sword say? It's very clear. “That when the forbidden months are over, kill the people of the book wherever you find them. Lay siege for them, lay wait for them, lay ambush for them, kill them wherever you find them. ” In fact, I converted to Christianity. Muhammad clearly stated that in the ends of days, there will be many who defect from the faith. Kill them when you see them, wherever you find them.” So, this is the question that the west needs to understand: What part of “KILL” don't you understand?”

Part 2 of 6

The Struggle

You said that the president reiterated a message of tolerance and the importance that this is not a campaign against Islam or Arab nations generally. Has it been communicated to the administration from those nations, from that part of the world that you've been talking to recently that it is a highly critical thing for the president to do, not just once, but over and over and over again, and so why...?"

We are a country that judges people not by their religious beliefs, or by their color, but by the fact that we're all Americans. That was the first part of the message. The second part of the message is that we have a lot of friends around the world who are Muslim. We have countries that are long friends of the United States who of the Islamic faith and the president wanted to be very clear that this is not a war of "civilizations", that this is not a war against Islam. This is a war against people who in many ways pervert what Islam stands for. Islam stands for peace, and stands for non-violence, and he wanted to make that very, very clear. Yea, sure...

Islam, and Islamic civilization are unique in their stance toward non-believers, and that Islam is the only religion in the world that has a developed doctrine theology in law that mandates violence against non-believers, that there are peaceful Muslims there are Muslims around the world who are moderate, who live in harmony with their non-Muslim neighbors, and have no intention of waging war against them in any way, but the fact is that they have a very slim justification for their own peacefulness within the Islamic sources themselves, and they are only at peace with their neighbors in so far as they are either ignorant of what Islam teaches about how Muslims should behave toward non-believers, or they have explicitly rejected, consciously rejected those elements of Islam. There are, in short, peaceful and moderate Muslims, but no peaceful and moderate Islam. The idea that Islam is a religion of peace, however, is paradoxically enough held even by the most violent and radical of Muslims. [Sayyid Qutb](#), the Egyptian Muslim theorist, whose writings are revered by radical Muslims today, by terrorists today, he wrote, and insisted that Islam is a religion of peace. When you study his writings, it becomes clear ([Islam and Universal Peace](#)) that he meant that Islam is dedicated to establishing the [hegemony](#) of Islamic law over the world. When that hegemony is established, peace will reign in the world, therefore, "Islam is a religion of peace".

24:56

But the problem is the peaceful Muslims don't understand the edicts that comes out of the jurisprudence of Islam. If you look at the interpretation of these verses in [Allah's University](#), in the Islamic Sharia Schools in Jerusalem, and in Jordan, Syria, in Damascus, all throughout the jurisprudence of Islam clearly state emphatically that the verse of the sword made null and void all the peaceful verses. And what exactly does the verse of the sword say?

The Noble Koran – 9:5

“Then when the Sacred Months (the 1st, 7th, 11th, and 12th months of the Islamic calendar) have passed, then kill the Mushrikun (unbelievers) whenever you find them, and capture them and [besiege](#) them, and prepare for them each and every ambush. But if they repent and perform As-Salat (Iqamat-as-Salat) (the Islamic prayers), and give [Zakat](#), then leave their way free. Verily, Allah is Oft-Forgiving. Most Merciful. “

Kill them, when you see them, wherever you find them. This is not an [allegoric](#) kill, it's a literal kill. It's the killing of Zakawi right in front of the camera, it's the [lynching you see in Ramallah](#), it's the killing of millions of Sudanese, in Sudan, cutting the hands and the feet from opposite sides, and here's the dilemma, the peaceful verse, even the peaceful verse that is quoted even by Bush, the verse goes as follows, “Whoever kills a life without just cause, or for doing mischief in the land, is then as he killed the entire earth”. You'll find the same verse in the Judeo biblical tradition, but most westerners never skip after that verse, which makes very clear, “..but as those who do mischief in the land, then cut their hands and their feet from opposite sides and crucify them--literally”. And that's what you see what happened in Afghanistan, that's what you see happened in Sudan, among a huge amount of crucifixions and beheadings, and amputations, and public assassinations. They really want to revive Islam as it used to be. This is why they call it Islamic fundamentalism.

The Nobel Koran - 5:33

“The [recompense](#) of those who wage war against Allah and His Messenger and do mischief in the land is only that they shall be killed or crucified or their hands and their feet be cut off on the opposite sides, or be exiled from the land. That is their disgrace in this world, and a great torment is theirs in the Hereafter.”

Sahih Al-Bukhari – Vol 8, Bk 82, Hadith 795

“The Prophet cut off the hands and feet of the men belonging to the tribe of Uraina and did not [cauterize](#) (their bleeding limbs) till they died.”

There is no assurance of what is done in (??) salvation and insurance of being saved and guaranteeing going to heaven. However, there are certain things that can help. So, if a Muslim, for instance, died while he was practicing Jihad, he is suppose to go to Paradise.

In the Islamist's thinking, the assurance of your salvation is dying as a martyr in accordance to the verse in the Koran “... (Do not think that the ones who die in the cause of Allah, in Jihad are dead, but are living”. So this assures salvation.

This is the calculus behind modern suicide bombing. Many people will say, modern Muslim advocates will say that Islam forbids suicide and this is plainly dishonest because all of the advocates, all of the defenders of suicide bombing in the Islamic world start out by saying this is not suicide. The intention of the person is not to kill himself, the intention of the person is to kill others, and that is [sanctioned](#) because it is Islamic Jihad. And if in the process they are killed themselves, that's an unavoidable consequence of their actions and they will be rewarded with the reward of martyrs in paradise.

The Koran is quite clear about the heavenly reward for a Jihadist who falls fighting in the path of Allah. He will be granted instant access to Paradise, and a Muslim Paradise is an extremely sensual one. It is full of [houries](#), black-eyed beauties, that will await the martyr and the gratification that follows is [eminently](#) unsuitable for family audience.

The Koran contains no guarantee of paradise except for those who [slay](#) and are slain in the cause of Allah.

The Noble Koran – 9:111

“Verily, Allah has purchased of the believers their lives and their properties; for the price that theirs shall be the Paradise. They fight in Allah’s Cause, so they kill (others) and are killed. Then rejoice in the bargain which you have concluded. That is the supreme success.”

In other words, the guarantee of paradise is for people who are killed while they are killing to establish the hegemony of Allah, or Islamic law in the world.

Jihad and Islam can be spiritual or physical. The spiritual jihad is striving to be a better Muslim, but also there is a physical part of jihad that he cannot take it away from Islam (that cannot be taken away from Islam).

Jihad in Islam means “struggle”. That’s what the literal meaning of the word (means), “struggle”. But what the west doesn’t understand is that the Hadith, the compilation of the traditions, of the Prophet Muhammad of Islam, is almost about 100 Hadiths regarding jihad, and if you look at every single one of them, every single one of them has the “sword”, “war”, or a military effort. And at the end of the exposition, the jihad exposition, he said, “Now I resort to the jihad within, the jihad that is within, the self-struggle”. And, as a matter of fact I had this dialogue with this jihadist one time, and he says, “Walid, come on”, tell the west that the Jihad means “struggle”. I said, yes it does mean “self-struggle”, you’re right. Jihad does mean self-struggle, but so does [Mein Kampf](#). *Mein Kampf* means ‘my struggle’, in the same fashion that Islamists look at jihad.

It is a very dangerous element of the Islamic teaching because this instant gratification through martyrdom is an attractive concept. And by the way, when the so-called martyr operation is carried out by Hamas, what is announced from the Minarets of Mosques is not the death of so-and-so who carried out the attack, but the wedding of so-and-so to the houries. In other words, they immediately make the implication that far from having to cry over his disappearance over the end of his physical life, his parents should be happy and celebrate, and throw a party because their son is not only being transported into heaven, but greeted there with these luscious beauties.

[Shahid](#), the word Shahid means witness, to witness, to testify. To testify there is no God but Allah, and Muhammad is his messenger, and you die as Shahid for that cause. You’re a witness, you’re considered a witness, a martyr. And a martyr becomes glorified. Your family will glorify you after you die.

To a Muslim fundamentalist living throughout the Middle East, I had to be initiated. I had to basically either kill my [first Jew, or destroy my first Zionist infrastructure](#). I had to prove beyond a shadow of a doubt that I was worthy, and there are ample amount of students, teenagers, men, who are willing to die as suicide martyrs, willing to put explosives. The martyr application is filled. There are many applicants. There are not enough bombs to fulfill the applicants, and to be on one of those missions, indeed you must have been chosen, you must have been really good. You must have been violent enough; you must have been going out on every demonstration in the streets of Jerusalem, or Bethlehem, or our village. You must show, have shown yourself worthy of a greater operation. So what I've explained what I have done, and people have seen me in the community and I was worthy. When I ended up in prison, I was of course recruited. And I remember Mr. (??). He was a proud, he was proud to have planted 15 bombs, and killed many Israelis, and he was being bailed out by a Jewish-Israeli lawyer. He was back right in the street. So you find your bomb maker, and you apply. You say, "Look, I want to join. I want to do my first martyr operation, planting the bomb, or whatever. And you need connections, so I found my connection. I rendezvoused with this guy in Jerusalem in (??), and he built this explosive charge with a timer in a loaf of bread. And I had to smuggle it from the temple mount, under the auspices of (??) apartment, and the (??) police is the Islamic police appointed by the government to watch over the holy sites. Then knowingly that I had explosive charges, smuggled me so I could escape from the check points. There, I carried my explosive charge from Jerusalem to (??) Bethlehem. I was supposed to put the explosive charge at 6pm exactly. I was supposed to have this explosive charge in my hand at 6pm exactly. 5 to 6 I saw some Arab children running around, and I didn't want to kill any Arabs. So I decided to place the explosive charge on the roof. I tossed it on the roof. At 6pm it went off and there was this big explosion. I looked behind me, I see this thick black smoke coming out of the building, and I started running. That's the moment I first got a glimpse of the reality of killing. I thought people have died. And I remember I didn't sleep for three days, constantly worried that I've killed somebody. Even terrorists have a reality check, that you kill, or you're about to die. You can sense it. This is why in Israel the way, the nature of finding out a suicide bomber is to look at their eyes. They'll have these glossy eyes, they're sweating profusely, they're not paying attention because in their mind, they're about to go. And it's ... you weigh the reality of what ... now you're going to die, and many times I've been in this situation where I had thought that I was going to get killed—shooting back and forth, as we stoned at the Israelis, and they shoot back at us and things like that. I was faced to face with death. When you think that in your mind you're going to die, you struggle between the requirements of your Islamic upbringing, and between the reality that you value your life. And at some point one has to outweigh the other, and for a Muslim fundamentalist, we always chose death. We always chose the suicide. My cousin died on his way to (??) street, and he got killed. He died. I had relatives die, and fighting the Israelis. And as I look at it now, I think, "What a waste. What a waste of life".

38:40

Part 3 of 6

Expansion

Ok, let me say goodbye, we're going to shake hands, ok?

Are you ready gent (gentlemen)?

Ready.

I'm ready too.

So, so in other words, you want to change ... In other words, who gives you the right to change the form (??) of government in another country? It shouldn't be asked for me to put together (I think) the government, it should be the people of Afghanistan, inside Afghanistan, and those who have had to flee from Afghanistan, and I think that's the right way to do it. Now, can I say I've enjoyed very much doing this interview with you? And, I think that whatever differences there are between us, it's important we carry on with this dialogue. Maybe, one of the problems that arises out of all of this is there has been insufficient dialogue between the Arab world and the West, between Islam, and between people of other faiths, and I hope that we can establish a proper dialogue, and maybe that would be some good that could emerge out of the terrible events of the 11th of September.

Just one simple question Mr. Blair--I know you have so many things, I know people are waiting outside—I think the time is that you ready about Islam—What do you know about Islam?

Well, I do not in any shape or form pretend to be an expert, but I do read ...

What do you read? What interests you?

I read the message of the Quran, as far as it can be translated, and I read about Islam, and I enjoy doing that, and I learn things about the Quran that I never knew before, and I think a lot of Christians would be interested in it. That's one of the reasons I say to you, "It would be good if we ... out of this had some more dialogue, and some more faith. And the reason I have to leave you now is 'cause I'm going to meet some religious leaders, both Muslim, and Christian, and Jewish upstairs in order to discuss with them how we can bring the faiths closer together so (??).

(??) What did you say in Arabic?

(??)

(??)

Islam understands its earthly mission to be ... to extend the law of Allah over the world by force. Now this is distinct from extending the religion by force. Muslims often indignantly deny that Islam was spread by the sword, as the old expression goes and that anybody is ever forced to convert to Islam. Now of course forced conversions are a constant hallmark of Islamic history, but they are technically forbidden by Islamic law. Now, the idea in Islam is that Muslims must wage war to establish the hegemony of Islamic law. Not everyone will be forced to become Muslim, but the non-Muslims will be relegated to second class status. They will not be able to live within the society as equals to the Muslims. And it is the responsibility of Muslims around the world to fight, to institute that kind of society.

Sahih Al-Bukhari – Vol 4, Bk 53, Hadith 392

“While we were in the Mosque, the Prophet came out and said, “Let us go to the Jews.” We went out till we reached Bait-ul-Midras. He said, to them, “If you embrace Islam, you will be safe. You should know that the earth belongs to Allah and His Apostle, and I want to expel you from this land. So, if anyone amongst you owns some property, he is permitted to sell it, otherwise you should know that the Earth belongs to Allah and His Apostle.”

The Muslims see the extension of jihad as a war liberating the infidels from their infidelity, and a privilege for them to enter the religion of Islam, and to abandon their wrong belief. So, jihad is seen as a favor which is given to the infidel population in order to change their ways and convert to the true religion: Islam.

The Noble Koran – 8:67

“It is not for a Prophet that he should have prisoners of war (and free them with ransom) until he had made a great slaughter (among his enemies) in the land. You desire the good of this world (i.e. the money of ransom for freeing the captives), but Allah desires (for you) the Hereafter. And Allah is All-Mighty, All-Wise.”

In the Muslim thinking, in the Muslim Sharia, the way the world is depicted is in two houses. [Dar al-Islam](#), and [Dar al-Harp](#), the “House of Islam”, or the “House of War”. So, the whole world is under these two houses. If you’re not a Muslim, you’re under the “House of War”. Yet, in the West, the apologists, the Islamic apologists would say, “No, that’s not accurate; it is the “House of Peace” and the “House of Islam”. And, in fact, that’s not accurate. If you look at the Hadith, and you look at what comes from the highest jurisprudence, in the Middle East, that’s what is being taught.

I have a son. I am already preparing him for martyrdom, either mine or his. I tell him that Allah made a vast paradise, and that in it are things that the eye has not seen and the ear has not heard, things that a man could not even imagine. He asks me if I carry out an operation and blow myself up, will Allah give me a car, a rifle to shoot with, toys? I answer him, “You will get everything you ask for”.

This really happened between you and your son?

This really happened.

Hold the microphone together and we will ask what is the right answer to give a child who asks, “Where is my father or where is my mother after one or both of them has carried out a martyrdom operation”?

The answer is brief, that when Sad died a martyr’s death, we said he is a martyr. Do not consider those who died for the sake of Allah dead, but alive and sustained by their God, and we camed the children. When they came from the television channel, the Abu Dhabi channel, I think, or another channel, I told them, “We are willing to sacrifice our four children”, the smallest (fifth) child said, “Why can’t I? I wish to be a martyr for the sake of Allah, and to kill some of the Jews and infidels who worshipped other gods, next to Allah and his Prophet and followed a religion that Allah did not permit, and which is not mentioned in the Koran. I am 12 years old and I memorized the Koran.

May Allah protect you a Jihad fighter for the sake of Allah.

Now the infidel population are (is) seeing this war as a genocidal war since as it is described in the Muslim historians of jihad as well as extremely numerous Christian sources this war ... was conducted ... in great ferocity ... whole cities were given up to massacres, entire populations were deported in slavery or massacred.

The companion of the Prophet, and the Second [Caliph Umar](#)

Sahih Al-Bukhari – Vol 4, Bk 53, Hadith 386

“Umar sent the Muslims to the great countries to fight the pagans. ... When we reached the land of the enemy, the representative of Khosrau (Persia) came out with forty-thousand warriors, and an interpreter got up saying, “Let one of you talk to me!” Al-Mughira replied ... ‘Our Prophet, the Messenger of our Lord, has ordered us to fight you till you worship Allah alone or give Jizya (tribute) and our Prophet has informed us that our Lord says: Whoever amongst us is killed (martyred), shall go to Paradise to lead such a luxurious life as he has never seen and whoever amongst us remains alive shall become your master.’”

There have been, in fact, two big waves of jihad. The [Ahab](#) wave, which started in the 7th century, (Alexander Conquered 641 AD), and in the course of one century only, has Islamized huge territories, mainly Christian territory, from Portugal till [Armenia](#) (Map shows Narbonne, France – 720 AD) (Battle of Poitiers – Muslim Advance Halted – 732 AD), but also Islamized Persia, which was not Christian, mainly (??), except for Iraqi, which was mainly Christian in the north, and Jewish and Christian in the south. The second wave of Islamization started in the 11th century with the Turkish tribes, so although this (these) regions are Eastern Europe, Greece, [Anatolia](#), which is now Turkey, but was the seat of the Christian [Byzantine Empire](#), and Serbia, Bulgaria, Romania (Belgrade Conquered 1521 AD), were integrated into the Dar-El-Salam, which is the land of Islam. So, all of the countries around the Mediterranean which once have been Christian, became the Islamic Empire. This Turkish wave lasted from the 11th century till the 17th century where the Turkish army were (was) stopped at the gate of Vienna in 1683.

The Crusades (1095-1270 AD) are not understood in the Muslim world today very differently to the way they are understood in the western academia, and in the discourse of the western elite class. Both talk of the Crusades as an aggressive war of conquest by Christian Europe against peaceful, innocent Muslims. One may ask, however, what were those Muslims doing in the holy land in the first place? Well, what happened is that Muhammad and his successors waged a series of wars of conquest, and in one such onslaught, in the 4th decade of the 7th century, the Holy Land, Palestine, Israel, was conquered by Muslims, and so when Celtic Turks started interfering with the ability of Christian pilgrims to go to the Holy Land, to go to Jerusalem, and when their physical safety was no longer guaranteed, the western Christians acted, not only as re-conquerors of a land that had been once theirs, they also acted, quite rightly, as one might say, protectors of their holy places. Now, a defensive war in the case of the Muslims is even a war of conquest because they're obligated to spread Islam, but a land which had been once Muslim, in particular, must be re-conquered, and “jihad” is the rightful name of that war of re-

conquest. So, they could never accept the Crusader states in (??) and Jerusalem, one because they were (??) re-instated into Dar al-Islam, and this is the contemporary aspect of the Israeli-Palestinian conflict, of which many westerners are not fully aware. Exactly the same psychology that prompted Saladin and others to fight the Crusaders is now motivating Hamas. In both cases, not only is it the nationalistic desire of Arabs to expel Europeans and Jewish settlers, it is also the chronic obligation of all good Muslims to make sure the land once ruled by Muslims will be reverted to their own.

From the British historian Hilaire Belloc's

The Great Heresies – 1938

'... It has always seemed to me possible, and even probable, that there would be a resurrection of Islam and that our sons or our grandsons would see the renewal of that tremendous struggle between the Christian culture and what has been for the more than a thousand years its greatest opponent. The suggestion that Islam may re-arise sounds fantastic – but this is only because men are always powerfully attracted by the immediate past – one might say that they are blinded by it ...' "But not so very long ago, less than a hundred years before the Declaration of Independence ... Vienna was almost taken and only saved by the Christian army under the command of the King of Poland on a date that ought to be the most famous in history – September 11, 1683. "

On September 11, 1683, the siege of Vienna was broken. That was the high point of Islamic jihad expansion into Europe. After that, Islam went into decline, and the Islamic world was colonized, and in a drastically weakened state. It seems very likely, almost certain as far as I am concerned, that Osama Bin Laden chose September 11th in 2001 to signal that the decline of the Islamic world was over, and that the jihadists were back, and were going to pick up where they left off in Vienna in 1683.

If we look at the tectonic plates between the Islamic world and non-Islamic world today, we notice something very interesting, that even very diverse Muslim societies, which cannot be easily branded under one civilization or label, have something in common, and that is they tend to be in conflict with their neighbors. If we look at the extreme outreach of Islam, we see [East Timor](#) where Indonesian Muslims slaughtered a third of the population of this former Portuguese colony, where by the way, were Roman Catholics. In the Southern Philippines, we see an extremely violent rebellion which has been simmering and becoming more or less violent for years in Indonesia itself. We had religious conflict in the [Spice Islands](#) where we believe the Christian minority is endangered of extinction. We have very active Islamic movements, both in Taiwan, and in China, in Xinjiang (??). In the Indian subcontinent, the history is tragic indeed, that's where the holocaust, the Hindu holocaust took place in Medieval times, a little known episode in the history of Islam in the Western World. But the one that left a deep traumatic mark on the people of the region, and where the conflict is still [latently](#) present in the province of [Kashmir](#). In Africa there is the constant war in Sudan, which finally has gained some prominence in the western decision making circles, but which has been going on for 20 years, and it's impossible to estimate the number of lives it has claimed, but it certainly goes into many 100s of thousands. There is the constant instability in Nigeria between the [resurgent](#) central and northern states, which are

increasingly pressurizing the government in the latest into accepting Sharia law as the law of the land in those provinces, and of course there is [Mauritania](#) where Muslims constantly battle non-Muslim southerners. Then there is of course, there are the Caucasus, [Chechnya](#). And, in Europe itself we have the conflict in the former [Yugoslavia](#) between the Bosnian Muslims and Serbs and Croats, respectively, and the conflict between the Albanians and the Serbs, Albanians and Macedonians, and quite possibly before too long, Albanians and Greeks. So, if we eliminate these conflicts, if we eliminate it from the equation, Chechnya, the Balkans, Sudan, the world is a pretty peaceful place. If we eliminate from the terrorist equation, terrorist acts caused by the Muslims over the past 5 years, we would come to realize that the war on terror is unnecessary because terror is not a very big problem.

Part 4 of 6

“War of Deceit”

57:43

Madam President. Senator from Nevada. I’ve been on the floor before speaking about Islam, and what a great religion it is. I’ve said it before and I’ll repeat my wives primary physicians are two members of the Islamic faith, the internist and the person who has performed surgery on her. I know them well. Been in their homes, socialized with them, talked about very serious things with them. We’ve helped each other with family problems. I’ve been to the new mosque with them in Los Vegas. They’re wonderful people with great families, and I’ve come to realize that Islam is a good religion, it’s a good way of life, people have a good health code, as their religion dictates, and they have great spiritual values as their religion dictates, and it’s too bad that some people misdirected people around the world trying to take away from this very fine religion. I believe that they cannot give this religion a bad name. I think that the power of this religion and the power of the people in this religion will overcome these evil people who are using this fine religion to do bad things to innocent people.

Islam is a religion and a political system that dictates that one must carry out warfare against non-believers until they either convert or submit, and this is the justification for the terrorists around the world are using for what they are doing, and that justification is based on core elements of Islamic tradition. That being the case, it’s very difficult for moderate Muslims, peaceful Muslims to stand up within the Islamic community, and to say this is not part of Islam. They only do so out of conscious deception, intending to mislead westerners in accord with the Islamic doctrine [Taqiyya](#), or “religious deception”, or they do so on the basis of simply being unaware of what Islam actually teaches.

Sahih Al-Bukhari - Vol 4, Bk 52, Hadith 269

“The Prophet said, “War is deceit.” Lying, generally speaking, is not allowed in Islam, but unlike other religions, there are certain situations where a Muslim can lie, and that would be acceptable, even encouraged. This concept called “el Taqiyya”, el Taqiyya means prevention, so a Muslim is allowed to lie to prevent harm that may come to him, or to Islam. “

When one is under pressure, one may lie in order to protect the religion. This is taught in the Koran, Chapter 3, verse 28, Chapter 16, verse 106.

There are certain provisions for lying, so a Muslim can lie for the cause of Islam, can lie to keep peace in his family so he can lie to his wife. A Muslim can lie to his fellow Muslim to keep peace in the society. And Muhammad himself ordered people to lie when people that he ordered to go and kill somebody; he told them that we cannot kill them unless we lie to that person. He said, "Ok, fine, lie".

***The Life of Muhammad* - P 367**

"The Apostle said ... 'Who will rid me of Ibnul-Ashraf?' Muhammad bin Maslama, brother of the Bani Abdul-Ashal, said, 'I will deal with him for you. O Apostle of God, I will kill him'. He said, 'Do so if you can.' ... He said, 'O Apostle of God, we shall have to tell lies.' He answered, 'Say what you like, for you are free in the matter.'"

America is a land of diversity and service. I'm an African American, my forefathers overcame the trials of slavery. I am a native American, I am a journalist, wife, and mother. I am of European heritage. One of my ancestors was a member of the [Continental Congress](#). I'm Hispanic American. I've been a girl scout since I was 6 years old, and now I'm a troop leader. I've served in our nation's armed forces, as had many of my relatives. My father served two tours of duty in Viet Nam, another fought for freedom at Gettysburg. Two of my uncles fought for our country in the Korean War, and I am an American Muslim (X4). Muslims are a fabric of this great country, and are working to build a better America.

The spokesmen in the Western World know how to play the game. They know how to present their cause in a way that is not only regarded as acceptable by the societal mainstream, but also reasonable, and one may even say just. They will appeal to democratic institutions, and their human rights in the full knowledge that given the power to do so, they would abolish those institutions and deny those rights to others.

***Sahih Al-Bukhari* - Vol 7, Bk 67, Hadith 427**

"By Allah, and Allah willing, if I take an oath and later find something else that is better than that, then I do what is better and [expiate](#) my oath."

When I used to be working as a translator at the [Loop College](#) in Chicago, the fundraising for jihad movements, for the PA, the PLO at that time, we would do the translation for the announcements, or the fliers that we hand out or we that we'd put on the walls of the college. And I remember the adamic (??) would be basically the facts. Bring your friends, we were intending to raise funds to support our jihad brothers in Lebanon, or whether they were fighting in Southern Lebanon against Israel, or whatever. And then comes the English part. In the English part, it would be the standard. We would be conducting an Eastern Cultural party. You're welcome. We will be serving lamb and [baklava](#). So, the west doesn't understand, as when we get together as a group, our conversations are different. As soon as a westerner would come into the scene, then the whole conversation changes. It becomes [palpable](#) to western mind.

When I used to go to work, let's say, during the Gulf War—I used to go to work in an American company—and everybody would be hovering around the TV. set. And as soon as there was a scud missile hitting [Riad](#), or something like that, and everyone will be distraught, unhappy if a scud lands in the American camp, and I would be standing right there amongst the American employees; “Oh, that's too bad, you know. Oh, that's too bad. I'm sorry that we had loss of life”. And, out of frustration from having to keep the truth of what I really felt, I would roll down the window on the freeway going home, and scream as loud as I can, “[Allahu Akbar](#)”, Allah is great, “Allahu Akbar”, because this is the chantation you do when the enemy is killed, when you win, so if it was a victorious day for the Iraqis when they land a scud missile, it'll be “Allahu Akbar” on the freeway. I know no one can hear me now, and when I went to my apartment at home, the rest of the apartment complex were also Arabs from the Middle East, we'd get together in my apartment, watch the Gulf War, and we'd be praising Allah every time there was some incident where Americans got killed, but it wasn't the same face that we put on when we were in an American environment. In an American environment, you'd play a different scenario. You acted as though you are on their side. So, there is this whole façade that is hidden from the west, of how Islam fundamentalists who want to propagate jihad in America can act publically.

1:07.44

Is what we are witnessing today a clash of civilizations? We ask that of noted Palestinian scholar and professor of English and Comparative Literature at [Columbia University](#) (The hyperlink is not a mistake – the Columbia University is also mentioned in those videos) Dr. Edward Siad.

No, I don't think so, and I think the whole thesis is a bit of a false one because in the first place, civilizations are not little packages, you know, that are little packages that are completely detached from each other. They're all connected in one way or another. And, you know, so-called western civilizations have many elements of Islamic, Confusion, and Latin American, and Russian, and all those things that

The basis of and prophet of this new version of Islam as a religion of peace and tolerance was [Edward Said](#) who established in all universities and in academia and this Islamic vision of peace. On this basis, the whole history of [dhimmitude](#) and jihad disappeared.

Edward Said, who in his book [Orientalism](#), [posited](#) that [CRITICISM](#) of the Islamic world on the part of Westerners was racist and imperialist. It is spread in order to make political points to accustom Westerners to the idea that Muslims are here to stay in the United States and that they and must not be questioned in terms of their loyalty to the secular framework of western society of the United States, and of Europe as well, that they must not be questioned in this despite Islam's historical, political, character because Islam is a religion of peace, and this fiction has become so entrenched in American public discourse, has to be practically beyond question, such that anybody who does question it is immediately branded as a racist, a hate monger, a bigot, and this is a very effective tool in a country where racism is the cardinal sin above all, to silence any effective debate about the continuing attachment to Muslim immigrants to Sharia law and their intentions toward the secular systems in which they now reside.

Part 5 of 6

More than a Religion

110:13

... it is that this is not a clash against Islam or Arabs; this is about freedom, not culture. It's about working with Islamic governments who want to move forward into the modern world, working with Islamic governments who see their faiths as a faith of peace, and working against the violence and terror, and the people to seek to hold back the world, and would seek to disrupt peace and freedom for others, and so that is what it is about for us. The true faith of Islam, we believe, is a religion of peace, and we intend to work with them in that regard. "The true faith of Islam, we believe, is a religion of peace".

Islam has to be known as more than a religion. The idea that Islam is a spiritual religion like, for instance, Christianity, is completely incorrect.

It would be incorrect to describe Islam as primarily, let alone, solely a religion. Since its early beginning in Muhammad's lifetime, it has also been a geo-political project, and a system of government, a political ideology, if you will.

Islam, from its beginnings, was both a religion, and a system of government. For example, the Islamic calendar doesn't base year one from the time that Muhammad was born, or the time that Muhammad received his first revelation from God, which I think are both what Westerners might expect, but from the time that Muhammad became a leader of an army, and the Head of State in Medina. This is the beginning of the Islamic Calendar because in the Islamic understanding, Islam is a political and social system, as well as an individual faith.

In Islam the separation between [temporal](#), [secular](#), and religious power is not only impossible, it is [heretical](#). Only in the complete blending of all aspects of human activity, and all aspects of political and legal functions of the state can we have the Caliphate, the properly organized state that is pleasing to Allah.

When Westerners think of religion, whether it's Islam, Christianity, Judaism, Hinduism, and all the "-isms" in the world, Westerners think that it's a personal issue. A Buddhist will go to the temple, and peacefully worship, or whatever he does: meditates, contemplates. A Jew goes to a Synagogue and does his [Mitzvah](#), and does his good deeds. A Muslim goes to the Mosque, pays [Zakat](#), or [Alms](#), to the Pilgrim in [Haji Mecca](#), or a Christian goes to church on Sunday. They think it's a personal issue. They believe that religion is a personal issue, so when they look at Islam, they compare Islam with the way they understand religions, and that's the first mistake. Islam is not a religion for personal use. Islam is Sharia Allah. Islam is a form of government to the world first, then to a personal application. It is not just how you pray, although you pray towards Mecca, it's how you dress, you dress in Arab culture, you speak Arabic, you can't go to Heaven unless you pray in Arabic. You can't read the Koran in English and expect to get good deeds to go to Heaven. You read the Koran in Arabic. It becomes an imperialistic system, that everybody now must speak Arabic, think Arabic, practice the religion in Arabic. It's a form of law not

just in how you eat, but how you get married, how you deal with your government, how you deal with your military, how you deal with the youth, how you deal with women, every aspect of your life becomes Islam—everything is Islam.

Sahih Al-Bukhari – Vol 2, Bk 23. Hadith 413

“The Jews brought to the Prophet a man and a woman from amongst them who had committed (adultery) illegal sexual intercourse. (The Prophet) He ordered both of them to be stoned (to death) near the place of offering the funeral prayers beside the mosque.”

Vol 7, Bk 62. Hadith 88

“The Prophet wrote the (marriage contract) with Aisha while she was six years old and [consummated](#) his marriage with her while she was nine years old and she remained with him for nine years (i.e. till his death). ”

In no way is Islamic Sharia, Islamic government compatible with Western understandings of human rights, and freedom of [conscience](#). Traditional Islam forbids conversion from Islam—forbids anyone to leave Islam—there’s no way out. And it forbids Muslims and non-Muslims to live as equals in society. It mandates second class status of non-Muslims, forbidding them to hold authority over Muslims, forbidding them to hold certain jobs as a result, it even mandated in history that houses of worship of Jews and Christians were neither to be built, or repaired, making communities [relegated](#) to a perpetual state of decline.

The Noble Koran – 5:51

“O you who believe! Take not the Jews and the Christians as Auliya (friends, protectors, helpers, etc.), they are but [Auliya](#) to one another. And if any amongst you takes them as Auliya, then surely he is one of them.”

It is not possible for a non-Muslim living in a Muslim society to [invoke](#) his civil rights and human rights that would be independent, or separate from the Sharia concept. He is expected to submit to Sharia willingly, and if he accepts his [Dhimmitude](#), possession of a [Dhimmi](#), he will be a protected person. A protected person is someone who is in fact, a willing [subordinate](#) to the Muslim [Overlords](#).

The Life of Muhammad – P 368

“We saluted the Prophet as he stood praying, and he came out to us, and we told him that we had killed God’s enemy. He spat upon our comrade’s wounds, and both he and we returned to our families. Our attack upon God’s enemy cast terror among the Jews, and there was no Jew in Medina who did not fear for his life.”

The Hadith very clearly says, the Hadith which is what Muhammad said, “I have ordered to fight until everyone says that there is no God but Allah”, and Muhammad is the messenger of Allah. So, this is how

Islam spread to North Africa, this is how Islam spread all the way to Indonesia, this is how Islam spread in the Middle East. Syria was not a Muslim country. Lebanon was not Muslim. Saudi Arabia even was a mixed multitude. All throughout the Middle East that's who Islam spread--was by the sword. This is why you don't see any Synagogues in Saudi Arabia. You don't see any churches in Saudi Arabia. Christianity virtually is non-existent. Even in my village in Bethlehem, Muslims are taking over. There's only 20% of the Christian population. In Lebanon, Christian Lebanese are moving by the droves. Hezbollah there is very active. Lebanon used to be Christian nation. Now, all of a sudden, it's being Islamized. So, Islam is moving.

New York City – 2002

"There is no God but Allah (chant). Muhammad is his messenger. Die Muslims everywhere. Allahu Akbar.

Muslims who come to the United States and come to Western Europe with the idea that Sharia is the law of Allah, they look upon our freedom of religion and they look upon the fact that non-Muslims are in power in the United States, and in Western Europe, making laws, and making laws not on the basis of the law of Allah, but on the basis of consensus and free elections. They look upon all that as a manifestation of [Jahiliyyah](#), or unbelief, a pre-Islamic period of ignorance, as the times in any nation's history before it became Muslim is referred to. So that you have Pakistan, and Iran and so on, they were refer to the period of their history before they became Muslim as the period of Jahiliyyah. They will also consider the United States and Western Europe to be in periods of Jahiliyyah today. And many Muslims coming into the United States and Western Europe will work to establish Islamic states here, on the basis of the idea that the secular state, and the state based on elections has no legitimacy, and you don't have elections on the law of Allah, you simply obey what God says.

London, England – May 2005

"(inaudible). 'Allah Cannot be defeated oh America!' 'Islam will Dominate the World'. 'Jihad, jihad, jihad'. 'It's about time for the whole west to realize, Islam is a tooth. It's a matter of time, Islam ought to be implemented, and the giant of Islam, and the giant of the Islamic State is going to rise up again. It's going to be a fact. And it's going to be a true (**) reality. (Basicallyyy, they want to dominate the world by any means.)

Look at the Muslims in Egypt who are going for the Islamic state. Look inn (??) at ... Even in Europe. It's a matter of time that Sharia will be implemented.

Part 6 of 6

The House of War

123:02

The most important thing the west needs to know about Islam today is that it has a political character, and that it is not simply a religion, but it is a religion, or a belief system that mandates warfare against

unbelievers for the purpose of establishing a societal model that is absolutely incompatible with western society. Americans need to know this, Western Europeans need to know this because Muslims are coming into Western countries while holding these beliefs, and intending to act upon them. They are the motivations behind modern terrorist activity, and they are the goals of millions of Muslims in the United States and around the world. We need to know this so that we can protect ourselves, but unfortunately, because of “political correctness”, and because of media and general government unwillingness to face the sources of Islamic terrorism, these things remain largely unknown.

Islamic fundamentalism is a sleeper cell in America. A good case in point is the story of [Saladin](#). Saladin is a great hero in Islam. Saladin was the one who defeated the [Crusades](#). There was a treaty that was supposed to be happening between the Crusades and Saladin, and the story was as follows: the Arab mediator came to Saladin and said the Koran says that if they concede to peace, then concede to it, which means that if the enemy wants peace, let's have peace, which is a verse you can find directly in the Koran. And Saladin responded with a great answer when he stated to the guy, “You are an Arab, and I am a Kurd. You should know the Koran better than I. Don't forget, the Koran also says, “Why should we concede for peace when we have the upper hand”? So you find both verses in the Koran. Peace! You concede to peace when you are the weaker party. This is why you hear the term “[Hoodna](#)”. “Hoodna” is a peace treaty, cease fire. In Iraq, [Sadr](#) asked for hoodna because he knew he can't defeat Americans. You have hoodnas all over when the enemy is stronger than you are, but as soon as you gain strength, then you don't concede for peace. This is why the face of Islamic fundamentalism in the west has a façade that Islam is a peaceful religion because they're waiting to have more Islamic immigrants, they're waiting to increase their number, waiting to increasing political power, and once they do, then look out. You'll see the real face of Islamic fundamentalism here in America.

(Video in Arabic showing road side bomb explosion.)

It's unfortunate that there's no negotiating with the Jihadists. There is no striking a deal with them. Islamic law is very clear on that, and hear once again is an example. We need to take Islam seriously. Islamic law does not allow for treaties. It does not allow for negotiated settlements between Muslim states and non-Muslim states. All it allows for is a temporary period of up to 10 years of hoodna, or what is commonly translated as “truce” to allow the Islamic forces to gather their strength, but that's not the same as peace as we know it. That's not the absence of the state of war, that's only a temporary lull in a war that the jihadists consider has gone on for 14 centuries, and are willing to fight for 14 more.

The Noble Koran – 47:4

“So, when you meet (in fight, Jihad in Allah's Cause) those who disbelieve, smite at their necks till when you have killed and wounded many of them, then bind a bond firmly (on them, i.e. take them as captives). ... Thus (you are ordered by Allah to continue in carrying out Jihad against the disbelievers till they embrace Islam (i.e. are saved from the punishment in the Hell-fire) or at least come under your protection), but if it had been Allah's Will, He Himself could certainly have punished them (without you). But (He

lets you fight), in order to test you, some with others. But those who are killed in the Way of Allah, He will never let their deeds be lost.”

In Islamic thinking, the world is divided into the House of Islam where Islamic [polity](#) has been established, where Allah rules supreme, and the House of War, which is the rest of the world. This [dichotomy](#) is [reminiscent](#) of all totalitarian ideologism, and most explicitly COMMUNISM. Both communism and Islam seek the end of history in this world. The end of history will come when either the whole of our planet becomes Dar al-Islam, or else when the proletarian revolution brings the [allingal](#) of the working-class to power all over the world, which will be the end of state, the end of money, and the end of class suppression. In both cases, it is possible to have a period of truce. It is possible to have, what would be called a modern [parlance](#), peaceful [coexistence](#), but that peaceful coexistence is a tactical ploy, and not a permanent solution.

Sahih Al-Bukhari – Vol 4, Bk 52, Hadith 196

„Allah’s Apostle said, ‘I have been ordered to fight with the people till they say, ‘None has the right to be worshipped but Allah.’ ...”

If we consider that if only we had changed our policies toward Israel, and only if we would change our policies toward Iraq, or changed our policies toward something else, if only we hadn’t taken out the (Mohammad) [Mosaddegh](#) regime in Iran in 1953, and other things that people have said to me, these ideas are ridiculous. They’re based on a fundamental misunderstanding of the motives and goals of the jihadists. This is not a conflict that was created with the creation of the State of Israel, or a conflict that was created when American armies went into Iraq. The Global Jihad has gone on without interruption, without significant interruption since the 7th century. And it only declined in force and activity at periods when the Islamic world was too weak to prosecute it.

The question now that we have to ask ourselves, is do we want to preserve our Judaeo-Christian values and our own civilization, or do we want, do we choose to go towards a dhimmitude, an enlarged dhimmitude in Europe which will engulf the whole of Europe, maybe not America, but America will be isolated because it will have to deal in geopolitics with an Islamized dhimmi Europe. And these are problems that have to be taken into consideration by Europeans themselves in choosing their identity and their future, freedom or dhimmitude, and by Americans also.

In order to defend itself against the onslaught of Global Jihad, which is coming in the century ahead--I have no doubt of that, the West would need to redefine itself, and to say what exactly is the geographical and cultural space to be defended, and in the name of what? Defending it in the name of a tepid, lukewarm ideology of multiculturalism is impossible. Multiculturalism and post-modern-liberalism are not worthy dying for, they’re not something that can inspire people to do what their ancestors have done at Partie', and at the [Walls of Vienna](#) in [1683](#). What Global Jihad has on its side is (a) simple-minded commitment of millions of people to not only spread the faith, but also better themselves at the expense of the infidel. In the first instance, through immigration, and later on, if necessary, by other means.

What the West needs to understand about Islam is that Islam has the potential of replacing the dangers that we just kind of did away with: Nazism and Communism. Like Nazism, and like Communism, Islamism—„the end, justifies the means“. There is no respect for national borders, and the whole ideology is to promote their way of thinking, and promote their way of life throughout the entire world. That's what is being taught in the Middle East, that's what's being coming out in all the jurisprudence in [Al-Azhar](#) in Saudi Arabia, and all throughout the Muslim World, is that Islam will conquer, and will continue to conquer until it triumphs, until everybody in the world says "There is no God but Allah, and Muhammad is his Prophet".

Friday Sermon – Abd Al-Qader Al-Kilani Mosque – Baghdad, Iraq – February 14, 2003

"I advise you, oh America, Britain, and those whom Allah said about you. Allah's wrath upon you, the Jews, oh the sons of apes and pigs, there is no strife on the face of the earth that you have not sparked. Whenever thy start the fire of strife, Allah extinguished it. May Allah extinguish your light and your fires. But we, we are the men whom Allah has chosen, and wanted and made us strong, so as to pluck your heads ripe for the picking, that rose and acted in tyranny and in arrogance. We will be the ones to pluck them, if Allah wills it."

The peculiarity of Islam has to be faced, and it has to be faced frankly and openly. Unlike others, and I am against saying this in the full knowledge that it will offend some Western ears, unlike the [Hindus](#), unlike the [Confucians](#), unlike the [Animists](#) of Sub-Saharan in Africa, the Muslims have an inherent secrecy (??) to expand, and to convert the rest of the world, not only to their religion, but to their outlook, and to their legal and moral system. They will not state this openly while they're in a minority into which the countries they immigrate, we have seen this time and over again throughout history. Once they reach the numbers necessary to impose their will, they will do so.

They will know – and I don't want to mention their names from this pulpit – The Americans and their president and the British, and their allies and the [Zionists](#), the spoiled offspring of this entity, Allahu Akbar! (Allah is the greatest). If Allah permits us, Oh Nation of Muhammad, even the stone will say, Oh Muslim, A Jew is hiding behind me, come and cut off his head, and we shall cut off his head. By Allah, we shall cut off. Oh Jews, Allahu Akbar! Allahu Akbar! Allahu Akbar! Jihad for the sake of Allah! Jihad for the sake of Allah! Victory for Allah! Allahu Akbar! The believers (Muslims) will triumph!

Miracles do happen. I do not know if it is another, maybe even deadlier terrorist attack that will act as a catalyst, or whether it will be a geopolitical confrontation in the Middle East itself, with Israel perhaps it entrusts, that a jolt will bring back into the minds and hearts of Westerners the awareness of the need to stand up and be counted.